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Abstract

This study aimed to assess the external and internal loads of Brazilian soccer referees during official matches. A total of 11 field referees (aged 36.2 ± 7.5 years) were monitored during 35 matches. The external (distance covered, mean and maximal speed) and internal load parameters (session ratings of perceived exertion [RPE] training load [TL], Edwards’ TL, and time spent in different heart rate [HR] zones) were assessed in 3-4 matches per referee. External load parameters were measured using a wrist Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver. No differences in distance covered (5,219 ± 205 vs. 5,230 ± 237 m) and maximal speed (19.3 ± 1.0 vs. 19.4 ± 1.4 km·h⁻¹) were observed between the halves of the matches (p > 0.05). However, the mean speed was higher in the first half of the matches (6.6 ± 0.4 vs. 6.4 ± 0.3 km·h⁻¹) (p < 0.05) than in the second half. The mean HR during the matches was ~89% of HRmax. In ~95% of the matches, the referees demonstrated a HR ≥ 80% of HRmax. Nonetheless, the time spent at 90-100% of HRmax was higher in the first half (59.9 vs. 52.3%) (p < 0.05). Significant correlations between session RPE TL and distance covered at 90-100% of HRmax (r = 0.62) and session RPE TL and maximal speed (r = 0.54) (p < 0.05) were noted. Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between session RPE TL and Edwards’ TL (r = 0.61) (p < 0.05). Brazilian soccer referees demonstrated high external and internal load demands during official matches. The portable GPS/HR monitors and session RPE method can provide relevant information regarding the magnitude of the physiological strain during official matches.
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Introduction

Soccer is characterized as an intermittent sport in which energy production is heavily dependent upon aerobic metabolism (Reilly, 1997; Impellizzeri et al., 2004; 2005; Stølen et al., 2005). During an official match, elite-level field players run between 10 and 12 km at an average intensity that is close to the anaerobic threshold (80-90% of maximal heart rate). Players also perform several explosive activities such as sprinting, jumping, tackling, changes in direction, high-intensity running and sustained forceful contractions to maintain balance and control of the ball under the pressure of defensive players (Bangsbo et al., 2008; Krustrup et al., 2006; Stølen et al., 2005).

The physical demand of elite soccer players also affects the activity of the referees (Castagna et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2012). Weston et al. (2007) were the first to demonstrate a correlation between match activity of elite soccer players and soccer referees. During a competitive match, an elite soccer referee covers 9-13 km at 85-90% of the maximal heart rate (HRmax) and 70-80% of the maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) (Castagna et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2012). Like field players, referees cover a significant amount of the total distance at high-intensity (Castagna et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2011b; 2012). Thus, the physical training of referees should employ TL capable of inducing specific physiological adaptations. In this scenario, monitoring the external (e.g., distance covered, mean speed, quantity of low, moderate and high-intensity running) and internal loads (e.g., mean HR, time and distance spent in zones of HR, blood lactate concentration) during official matches is crucial to improve the accuracy of the training prescription and recovery.

When assessing the physical demands (external loads) of soccer referees during official matches, previous studies have used different parameters such as total distance covered, distance covered at different intensities (i.e., low, medium, high, and sprinting), and distance covered using different movement patterns (e.g., backward and sideward) per match, in different soccer leagues (Castagna et al., 2007). Even though data regarding the external load are abundant, less attention has been devoted to determining the internal load during official matches, including markers as HR and ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) (Mallo et al., 2009; Weston et al., 2006; 2010). The assessment of the internal load requires the quantification of the physiological strain imposed on the organism (Impellizzeri et al., 2005). Several methods have been proposed attempting to quantify the physiological strain. The HR and session RPE methods (Foster et al., 2001; Banister, 1991; Edwards, 1993; Lucia et al., 2003) have been successfully used for determining the internal load associated with soccer matches and training sessions (Impellizzeri et al., 2004). HR methods could be useful tools to determine the intensity range of referee’s endurance training. In addition, given that soccer involves numerous explosive bursts, including sprinting, turning, and changing pace (Stølen et al., 2005), the use of the RPE method seems to be adequate to measure internal load during official matches, due to its recognized ability to assess the physiological strain regardless of the type.
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and intensity of the exercise (Impellizzeri et al., 2004; 2005). Thus, monitoring internal TL using session RPE may allow adjusting the external TL to the physiological strain imposed to soccer referees during official matches.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the external and internal loads of Brazilian soccer referees during professional official matches. The knowledge of the magnitude of external and internal TL assessed in official matches may be used to develop adequate training programs for soccer referees.

Methods

Subjects

Eleven Brazilian soccer referees (age 36.2 ± 7.5 years; refereeing experience 8.3 ± 5.1 years; body mass index 24.8 ± 1.9 kg·m\(^{-2}\); body fat 14.6 ± 5.6%) that officiated during the 2009-2010 season volunteered to participate in this study. Subjects were informed of the experimental risks and benefits, and signed an informed consent form prior to the investigation. The investigation was approved by an Institutional Review Board for use of human subjects.

Design

This observational study was designed to assess the external and internal loads of Brazilian field soccer referees during professional official matches. Acknowledging the specific demands of these professionals in an actual environment is crucial to provide relevant information to develop adequate and specific training strategies (Impellizzeri et al., 2004; 2005; Moreira et al., 2012). For this study, 11 field referees (all of them with at least three years of refereeing experience) were examined during 35 professional soccer matches of the Rio Grande do Norte state championship (i.e., northeast region of Brazil). It is noteworthy that none of the teams of this championship participates in the First Division of the National Soccer League in Brazil. The external and internal loads were assessed on three to four matches, for each referee. The total distance covered, and mean and maximal running speeds during the soccer matches were used as indices of the external load. The session RPE and the Edwards’ methods were assumed as indices of the physiological strain (i.e. internal load) imposed on the referees during the matches.

Methodology

Total distance covered (km), distance covered at the high-intensity HR zone (90-100% of HR\(_{max}\)), and mean and maximal running speeds (km·h\(^{-1}\)) were assessed using a wrist GPS receiver with an HR monitor (Garmin® Forerunner 405, Olathe, USA) (Ardigò, 2010). Before the commencement of the study, the Garmin® Forerunner 405 was validated using a 100-meter route with changes in direction at different speeds – 5 km·h\(^{-1}\) (40 times) and 10 km·h\(^{-1}\) (40 times) – (Figure 1). Two professional athletes (1.82 ± 0.71 m, 79.6 ± 4.4 kg, VO\(_2\)max = 55.5 ± 2.1 mL·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)) participated in this preliminary validation study. The actual route distance was determined using a measuring tape to compare with the distance registered by the GPS (see Figure 1). This protocol was based on a recent study designed to analyze the validity and reliability of GPS receivers, performed by two moderately-trained males in eight bouts of a standard circuit that consisted of six laps around a 128.5 m route involving changes of directions (Coutts and Duffield, 2010). After the validation study, all referees were trained to use the Garmin® Forerunner 405. The GPS was switched “on” and “off” before and after the first and second halves of each match, respectively.

Figure 1. One hundred meter route with changes of directions.

The session RPE internal TL was determined by multiplying the match duration (minutes) by the RPE assessed 30 min after the end of the second half of each soccer match (Foster et al., 2001). All referees were familiarized with the modified CR10-scale (Foster et al., 2001) before the beginning of the study. The Edwards’ TL was calculated for all matches (Edwards, 1993). The Edwards’ TL method (Edwards, 1993) estimates the internal load based on five HR zones, as follows: 50-60% of HR\(_{max}\) = 1; 61-70% of HR\(_{max}\) = 2; 71-80% of HR\(_{max}\) = 3; 81-90% of HR\(_{max}\) = 4; 91-100% of HR\(_{max}\) = 5) which scores are added. In the present study, the HR\(_{max}\) was obtained via measuring the maximal HR reached in the match (Helsen and Bultynck, 2004).

Statistical analysis

Referee data of all assessed matches were combined and averaged for statistical analysis purposes. All data showed normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test). Data are presented as means, standard deviation (SD), and coefficient of variation (CV). In the GPS validation preliminary study, a paired t-test was used to compare the actual distance with the distance registered by the GPS. The Bland and Altman (1986) plot was used to calculate the limits of agreement between the actual distance and the distance registered by the GPS. Changes in external and internal loads between the first and second halves of the matches were determined using paired t-tests. Pearson’s product-
moment correlations were used to examine the relationship between session RPE TL, external load parameters (total distance covered, distance covered at 90-100% of HR_{max} and maximal speed), and Edwards’ TL. The magnitude of these correlations was qualitatively assessed, according to Hopkins et al. (2009) as follows: trivial \( r < 0.1 \), small \( 0.1 < r < 0.3 \), moderate \( 0.3 < r < 0.5 \), large \( 0.5 < r < 0.7 \), very large \( 0.7 < r < 0.9 \), nearly perfect \( r > 0.9 \) and perfect \( r = 1 \). The significance level was set at 5% (p < 0.05).

**Results**

Data obtained for the Garmin® Forerunner 405 validation study are presented in Table 1. Results showed a small, but significant difference between the actual distance and the distance registered by the Garmin® Forerunner 405 (~4% of the actual distance).

The external load imposed on the Brazilian referees during official matches and the differences between the first and second halves of the matches are presented in Table 2.

### Table 1. Results for the Garmin® Forerunner 405 validation study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Distance (m) [CV]</th>
<th>5 km·h⁻¹ (n = 40)</th>
<th>10 km·h⁻¹ (n = 40)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance (m) [CV]</td>
<td>104.0 (10.1)*</td>
<td>109.5 (8.4)*</td>
<td>98.5 (8.6)</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance covered (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limit (CI 95%)</td>
<td>-5.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limit (CI 95%)</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation; CV = coefficient of variation; CI 95% = 95% confidence interval; * = significant difference in relation to the actual distance (100m). Data expressed in mean and (±SD).

### Table 2. Physical demands on Brazilian soccer referees during official matches: 1st vs. 2nd halves of matches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>1st half</th>
<th>2nd half</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance covered (m)</td>
<td>5.219 (205)</td>
<td>5.230 (237)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean speed (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>6.6 (4)</td>
<td>6.4 (3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum speed (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>19.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>19.4 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significant difference between 1st and 2nd half.

The data regarding to the internal load are exposed in Table 3. It is important to highlight that during ~95% of the match, the Brazilian soccer referees demonstrated a HR ≥ 80% of HR_{max}.

### Table 3. Mean and maximum HR (bmp), and time (min) spent in the HR zones during official matches. Data are means (±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>1st half</th>
<th>2nd half</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR</td>
<td>166 (7)</td>
<td>165 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum HR</td>
<td>184 (7)</td>
<td>184 (8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR zone 60-70%</td>
<td>3.4 (0.9) (7%)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.7) (5.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR zone 70-80%</td>
<td>2.00 (2.22) (4.1%)</td>
<td>3.28 (3.93) (6.8%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR zone 80-90%</td>
<td>17.0 (9.0) (35.2%)</td>
<td>19.6 (8.3) (40.5%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR zone 90-100%</td>
<td>28.9 (10.4) (59.9%)</td>
<td>25.3 (10.5) (52.3%)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significant difference between 1st and 2nd half.

Regarding to the correlation analysis between external and internal load parameters, the data are exposed in the Table 4. Additionally, a large correlation between the objective (Edwards’ TL) and subjective (Foster’s session RPE TL) methods to evaluate internal load was observed (\( r = 0.61 \); p < 0.05).

### Table 4. Correlation analysis between external and internal load parameters in Brazilian soccer referees during official matches. (Pearson’s product-moment).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Session RPE TL</th>
<th>Edwards’ TL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total distance covered (m)</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance covered at 90-100% HR_{max} (m)</td>
<td>.62 **</td>
<td>.94 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal speed (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>.54 **</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significant correlation; ** = Large correlation according to Hopkins et al. 2009; *** = Nearly perfect correlation according to Hopkins et al. 2009.

### Discussion

The aim of the present study was to assess the external and internal loads in Brazilian soccer referees during professional official matches. The main results of this study were: a) the distance covered by Brazilian soccer referee was ~10.5 km; b) the mean speed and maximum speed were 6.5 and 19.3 km·h⁻¹, respectively; c) during ~95% of the match, the Brazilian soccer referees sustained HR level ≥ 80% of HR_{max}; d) the time spent at high-intensity (90-100% of HR_{max}) was higher in the first half than in the second half; e) there was a positive correlation between session RPE TL and external load related parameters; and f) there was a positive correlation between objective (Edwards’ TL) and subjective (session RPE TL) methods of quantifying internal load.

To date, there are no comprehensive data available regarding the physical demand imposed on soccer referees in South America official matches. Only one study, carried out in Brazil by Da Silva et al. (2008) assessed energy expenditure and physical activity during official matches. The aforementioned study was performed during the Paraná Championship (state level) and verified that the referees covered a distance of ~9 km per match, a lower distance than the reported herein (~10.5 km). The findings of the present study indicate lower covered distance than in main European soccer leagues (Castagna et al., 2004; Castagna and Ašt, 2003; Weston et al., 2010; 2011a; 2011b) but similar distance to those achieved in international top-class tournament (Bárbero-Álvarez et al., 2012; Mallo et al., 2009). More specifically, the Brazilian soccer referees covered a shorter distance than Italian referees (~11.5-13.0 km) (Castagna et al., 2004; Castagna and Ašt, 2003), English referees (~11.3-12.2 km) (Weston et al. 2010; 2011a; 2011b), and referees that officiated in the UEFA Championship (~11 km) (Weston et al. 2010; 2011a; 2011b) but similar distance to those achieved in international top-class tournament (Bárbero-Álvarez et al., 2012; Mallo et al., 2009). However, the distance covered by the referees of the present study was similar to the referees that officiated in the 2007 America’s Cup (~10.2 km) (Bárbero-Álvarez et al., 2012) and 2005 FIFA Confederations Cup (~10.2 km) (Mallo et al., 2009), two Interna-
tional top-class tournaments.

The results of the present study may be considered valid despite the verified difference between the actual distance and the distance registered by the Garmin® Forerunner 405 during the GPS validation. The observed difference was small (~4%) and cannot be viewed as a limitation or a threat to presented findings, but as a systematic error of the device. Recently, Ardigò (2010) showed that the GPS (Garmin® Forerunner 305) can be a good and inexpensive method to assess the external load imposed on soccer referees during official matches. Ardigò (2010) reported that soccer referees of the sixth and seventh divisions of the Italian Championship covered a total distance of 11394 ± 697 m, which are similar to those observed in other studies involving Italian soccer referees with video match analysis (Castagna et al., 2004; Castagna and Abt, 2003; Tessitore et al., 2007). However, Ardigò (2010) emphasized that, in order to achieve a definitive proof of method accuracy, the GPS method should be concurrently validated against the established gold standard (i.e., video match analysis).

During all matches, in the present study, the mean HR was ~165 bpm (~89% of HRmax). This result is higher than that reported by Ardigò (2010) (82% of HRmax), Tessitore et al. (2007) (84% of HRmax), and Helsen et al. (2004) (85% of HRmax). This difference can be attributed, at least in part, to the methods used to define HRmax. As regards the time spent at high-intensity during the match, the referees analyzed demonstrated a decreased time spent at 90-100% of HRmax during the second half: ~60% vs. ~52% (~8%), respectively. This pattern is similar to that found by Ardigò (2010), where HR was ~85% of HRmax in 38% of the match, with ~20% decrease in the second half. Tessitore et al. (2007) also reported a HR > 85% of HRmax during ~60% of the first half and ~45% (~15%) of the second half. Additionally, Tessitore et al. (2007) reported a higher blood lactate concentration after the first match half (1.5-11.7 mmol·L⁻¹) and lower value observed at the end of the match (1.3-5.7 mmol·L⁻¹). In the present study, it was also observed that the mean speed (km·h⁻¹) was higher in the first half when compared to the second half (Table 2). These results indicate a significant reduction in the match intensity during the second half. Therefore, the referees’ training programs should be designed to improve endurance capacity at high-intensity minimizing the performance decrement during the second half of the match.

One possible explanation for the lack of correlation between the Edward’s TL and the external load parameters may be attributed to the non-steady-state nature of soccer. During intermittent activities, HR responds relatively slowly to abrupt changes in work rate and it may not accurately reflect changes in VO₂ (Achten and Jeukendrup, 2003; Tumilty, 1993). Considering the intermittent nature of the high-intensity work during official soccer matches (Bangsbo, 1994a; 1994b; Reilly, 1997), the usefulness of HR-based methods for measuring internal load might be limited and their validity questioned (Impellizzeri et al., 2005). The present study seems to corroborate the above-mentioned aspects, as only session RPE method was able to determine the impact of external load on the internal load of soccer referees during official matches.

The session RPE scores of the referees varied from 5.5 to 8.0 (i.e., “hard” to “very hard”). This data was very similar to those reported by Weston et al. (2006) with English referees during matches of the Football League (i.e. below the Premier League; 6.9 ± 0.8), but lower than reported by the same referees during the matches of the Premier League (7.8 ± 0.8). Additionally, the authors showed a significant moderate correlation between mean match HR and RPE score (r = 0.48) (Weston et al., 2006). These results indicate the validity of session RPE as a measure of global match intensity in soccer referees, and the tendency of higher magnitude of internal load as the level of the competition increases. In the present study, it was detected a similar correlation between session RPE and mean HR, but not statistically significant (r = 0.47; p = 0.14), possibly due the small sample size and number of matches analyzed. In a more recent study, Weston et al. (2010) showed a TL of 646 ± 140 AU of English referees that officiated in the Premier League, also very similar to the presented data (650 ± 89 AU). Weston et al. (2010) also evidenced that the “old” referees (43-48 years) showed higher session RPE values than the “intermediate” (37-42 years) and “young” (31-36 years) referees. Moreover, the older referees covered a lower total distance during the matches and performed a lower number of high-intensity activities than the intermediate and young ones.

Session RPE is a valid method for quantifying internal load, as it represents the subject’s perception regarding the stress imposed on the organism during training sessions and competitions, which may include both physiological and psychological aspects. Thus, this method seems to be adequate to determine the magnitude of internal load in a competitive environment (Weston et al., 2006; 2010; Foster et al., 2001) as several external conditions may affect the psychological perception of the environment, as shown in the present study. Moreover, the session RPE method is easy to use, quite reliable, low cost and consistent with objective physiological indices of the intensity of physical training (or official matches), even in intermittent sports (Alexiou and Coutts, 2008; Foster et al., 2001; Impellizzeri et al., 2004; 2005; Kelly and Coutts, 2007).

Additionally, there was a large correlation between session RPE TL and Edwards’ TL (r = 0.61). Similarly, very large correlations were found by Impellizzeri et al. (2004) in male soccer players (r = 0.71) and by Alexiou and Coutts (2008) in female soccer athletes (r = 0.85) during training sessions and matches. Moreover, Weston et al. (2006) observed a significant moderate relationship between mean match HR and match RPE score (r = 0.48). Taken together, it is reasonable to conclude that HR and RPE measurements can provide relevant information about the magnitude of internal stress, and consequently, ensuring that appropriate loads are implemented during training sessions.

It is important to acknowledge, as previously reported by Weston et al. (2011b), that the match demands of soccer referees are highly variable from match to
match. Therefore, it is possible that, although session RPE and HR might be practical measures of overall internal load, these markers may not be sensitive enough to detect these fluctuations in external load performed. This is an important implication for referee training programs, warranting further research.

Conclusion

In summary, Brazilian soccer referees demonstrated high external and internal loads during official matches. The use of portable GPS/HR monitors may be an interesting tool for monitoring parameters related to internal (e.g. HR) and external loads (e.g. distance and speed) of soccer referees during official matches. However, it is important to mention that the portable GPS/HR monitors should be compared with the current established gold standard for definitive conclusions in relation to its validity. The HR data support the adoption of high-intensity intermittent training sessions by referees, which should aim for intensities above 80% of HRmax. In addition, based on presented results, the session RPE method seems to be a valuable marker of internal load imposed on soccer referees during official matches.
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**Key points**

- High external and internal loads were imposed on Brazilian soccer referees during official matches.
- There was a high positive correlation between a subjective marker of internal load (session RPE) and parameters of external load (distance covered between 90-100% of HR_max and maximal speed).
- There was a high positive correlation between session RPE method and Edwards’ method.
- Session RPE seems to be a reliable marker of internal load.
- The portable GPS/HR monitors and the session RPE method can provide relevant information regarding the magnitude of external and internal loads of soccer referees during official matches.
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