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Abstract
The accurate and reliable assessment of physical activity is necessary for any research study where physical activity is either an outcome measure or an intervention. The aim of this review is to examine the use of objective measurement techniques for the assessment and interpretation of children’s physical activity. Accurate measurement of children’s activity is challenging, as the activity is characteristically sporadic and intermittent, consisting of frequent, short bouts. Objective measures of physical activity include heart rate telemetry, pedometry and accelerometry, and each of these methods has strengths and limitations. Heart rate is suited to the measurement of sustained periods of moderate and vigorous activity, pedometry provides a valid measure of total activity, and accelerometry provides a valid measure of total activity as well as the pattern and intensity of activity. As the weaknesses of heart rate and accelerometry for the assessment of activity are not inter-correlated, a combination of the two methods may be more accurate than either method alone. Recent evidence suggests that the Actiheart, an integrated accelerometer and heart rate unit, provides a more accurate prediction of children’s energy expenditure than either heart rate or accelerometry alone. However, the cost of the Actiheart is prohibitive for large-scale studies. The pedometer is recommended when only the total amount of physical activity is of interest. When the intensity or the pattern of activity is of interest, accelerometry is the recommended measurement tool.
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Introduction
The accurate and reliable assessment of physical activity is necessary for any research study where physical activity is either an outcome measure or an intervention. However, physical activity is notoriously difficult to measure and these difficulties are particularly exacerbated when assessing activity in children. Numerous methods exist for the measurement of physical activity. Broadly, the various techniques can be grouped as self-report, observation, heart rate telemetry and motion sensors. Pragmatic considerations often lead to self-report as the tool of choice, particularly in large-scale epidemiological studies (Freedson et al., 2005). However, the sporadic short-burst nature of children’s activity (Bailey et al., 1995; Baquet et al. 2007; Berman et al., 1998) makes it particularly difficult to capture data via self-report methods. The problem is compounded, as the concept of time and the ability to accurately recall are limited by the child’s level of cognition and the emotion associated with the activity (Gleitman, 1996). Developments in technology over the past twenty years have resulted in an increase in the use of objective methods to assess habitual physical activity. During the 1990’s, heart rate monitoring was extensively used by Armstrong and colleagues (e.g. Armstrong et al. 1990) and was the preferred method of choice, but over the last ten years pedometry and accelerometry (e.g. Rowlands et al., 1999; Trost et al., 2002) have increased in popularity. The purpose of this review is to examine the use of objective measurement techniques for the assessment and interpretation of children’s physical activity.

The pattern of activity
Bailey et al. (1995) highlighted the transitory nature of children’s physical activity using a very comprehensive observation protocol with fifteen American 6-10 year-old children. This study recorded the frequency, duration and varying intervals between activities of different intensity and duration (tempo). The protocol was very labour-intensive; observation periods were four hours in duration and observers were cued every three seconds to record activity by an audible bleep from a microcassette recorder earphone. The median duration of low and medium intensity activities was found to be a mere 6 s, and for high intensity activities it was only 3 s. Re-analysis of the same data set with spectral analysis showed 83 ± 11 bouts of activity per hour in boys and 89 ± 12 bouts per hour in girls and revealed the mean bout duration was 21 ± 5 s in boys and 20 ± 4 s in girls (Berman et al, 1998).

More recently, researchers have used high-frequency accelerometry monitoring to assess the temporal pattern of children’s activity. Despite the different methodologies, results are very similar to those reported from the observation protocols. Baquet et al. (2007) reported a mean bout duration of 22.1 ± 3.5 s in French 8-10 year-olds and showed that 80, 93 and 96% of activity bouts of moderate, vigorous and very high intensity, respectively, were shorter than 10 s. Although the proportion of time spent in vigorous and very high intensity activity was low (<3%), it accounted for over a third of the total physical activity (Baquet et al., 2007). This highlights the importance of accurately quantifying these short bouts of intense activity. Many methods of activity measurement are fairly insensitive to these short bouts. The lack of appreciation of and quantification of the transitory nature of the activity patterns in children may have affected the ability of studies to provide an accurate reflection of children’s activity and this will have impacted on the determination of associations between activity and health.

Assessment methods
Heart rate
Heart rate is not a direct measure of physical activity. However, it does provide an indication of the relative stress placed upon the cardiopulmonary system by physical activity (Armstrong, 1998). Heart rate monitoring also allows the recording of values over time, which facilitates a visual assessment of the pattern and intensity of activity. It was the first widely used objective measure of physical activity in children. In the South West of England alone, over a ten year period Neil Armstrong and colleagues monitored the heart rates of over 1200 5-16 year-old children over three school days (Armstrong et al., 1990; 2000; Armstrong and Bray, 1990; 1991; Biddle et al., 1991; McManus and Armstrong, 1995; Welsman and Armstrong, 1997; 1998; 2000).

However, there are a number of limitations to the use of heart rate monitoring for assessing physical activity (Armstrong and Welsman, 2006; Rowlands, 2001; Rowlands et al., 1997). Physical activity is not the only factor that causes changes in heart rate. Heart rate can also be influenced by other parameters, e.g. emotional stress, anxiety, level of fitness, type of muscular contraction, active muscle group, hydration and environment (Armstrong and Welsman, 2006; Rowlands et al., 1997). These factors can have the greatest influence at low activity intensity; hence Riddoch and Boreham (1995) recommended that heart rate monitoring should be considered primarily as a tool for the assessment of moderate to vigorous activity and that heart rates below 120 bpm would not normally be considered to be valid estimates of physical activity.

The methods of analysing heart rate activity data are numerous with over 24 different methods of analysing heart rate data identified by Harro and Riddoch (2000). This diversity in methods of analysis limits the comparability between studies. However, most studies predict energy expenditure from individual or group regression equations, report the time spent above pre-determined heart rate thresholds or report net heart rate (heart rate minus resting heart rate). To account for the problems associated with prediction of energy expenditure from low heart rates, investigators have used a ‘flex’ heart rate approach, whereby heart rates below a pre-determined threshold are considered to equate to resting energy expenditure and an individual heart rate: energy expenditure regression equation is used to predict energy expenditure above this threshold (Livingstone et al., 1992). However, the labour intensive nature of individual calibration limits the use of this technique (Armstrong and Welsman, 2006) and the majority of studies report time spent above heart rate thresholds equivalent to moderate and vigorous activity.

The method chosen for the analysis of heart rate data needs careful consideration as it can affect the interpretation of the data and hence conclusions regarding habitual activity status and associations with health variables. For example, Janz et al. (1992) reported a negative relationship between children’s activity and fatness when expressing heart rate as net heart rate, whereas when expressing the same heart rate data as time spent above intensity thresholds the relationship was weaker and non-significant. A meta-analysis of fifty studies investigating the relationship between activity and body fat in children and youth showed that the average effect size elicited from studies using heart rate to assess activity levels, most of which used time spent above moderate and vigorous thresholds, was significantly lower than the average effect size elicited from studies using a different method of activity assessment (questionnaire, observation, motion counter) (Rowlands et al., 2000). It is possible that increased body fatness increases the cardiovascular stress, and hence heart rate, during normal activities (Rowlands et al., 1999).

Heart rate monitors are generally set to store heart rate values every minute. However, as suggested by Armstrong and Welsman (2006), in order to capture the rapid short bursts of activity characteristic of children’s activity, a smaller sampling interval would be optimal. As the heart rate response tends to lag behind changes in movement (Rowlands et al., 1997) and there is a rapid transition between activities associated with children’s behaviour (Bailey et al., 1995), it is unlikely the heart rate response would be able to provide a comprehensive picture of the temporal pattern of activity typical of children.

Despite the above limitations, heart rate monitoring has provided a valid and reliable objective estimate of physical activity, particularly sustained periods of moderate and vigorous activity, and the vast quantity of data collected has given researchers valuable, objective insights into the nature of children’s activity over the past twenty years.

**Pedometry**

Leonardo da Vinci designed the pedometer approximately 500 years ago (Gibbs-Smith, 1978); it is a simple mechanical motion sensor that records the acceleration and deceleration of movement in one direction. Generally, the pedometer gives a measure of total activity, or movements, over the time period assessed, although more sophisticated models are available. The well-documented disadvantages of this method include the pedometer’s inability to measure intensity, record counts during cycling and record increases in energy expenditure due to carrying objects or walking/running uphill (Rowlands, 2001; Rowlands et al., 1997).

Early studies using mechanical pedometers concluded that they were inaccurate at counting steps or measuring distance walked (Gayle et al., 1977; Kemper and Verschuur, 1977; Saris and Binkhorst, 1977; Washburn et al., 1980). However, during the last ten to fifteen years, studies have provided evidence for the reliability and validity of electronic pedometers for the quantification of distance walked, number of steps taken (Bassett et al., 1996), assessment of total daily activity (Sequeira et al., 1995) and estimation of activity intensity and duration (Tudor-Locke et al., 2005; Rowlands and Eston, 2005). Reliability and validity do differ by brand, hence it is important to consult some of the comparative studies (e.g. Schneider et al., 2004; Tudor-Locke et al., 2006) and test the accuracy of the pedometers with the population of interest before commencing a study.

Kilanowski et al. (1999) investigated the validity of pedometry as a measure of daily activity of 10-12 year-old children using contemporaneous measures of pedome-
Researchers may wish to seal pedometers during the day to minimise the risk of the pedometer accidentally being reset and the loss of the days’ data.

The pedometer also holds promise as a motivational tool to self-regulate physical activity levels. Pedometer-based intervention studies have demonstrated increased steps/day using set or individualised goals in adults (e.g. Chan et al., 2004; Tudor-Locke et al., 2004). Studies with children show that rewards based on access to television viewing combined with pedometer-based goals are effective in increasing children’s activity levels (Goldfield et al., 2000; Roemmich et al., 2004), but that pedometer-based goals alone, without the rewards, are not as effective (Goldfield et al., 2006). We have shown that a peer-modelling, rewards (small customised toys, e.g. balls and frisbees) and pedometer-feedback intervention was successful in increasing physical activity in 9-11 year-old children (unpublished data). Therefore, evidence exists for the use of a pedometer not only as a measurement tool, but also as an intervention tool for behaviour change.

Accelerometry

Since 2001, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of studies using accelerometers to assess physical activity in children (Rowlands, 2007). Like pedometry, accelerometry is objective and measures movement directly, which is an important factor when assessing the relationship between health and activity. Critically, accelerometers also have a time-sampling capability allowing the assessment of the temporal pattern and intensity of activity as well as total accumulated activity. However, there is a lack of standardisation regarding how accelerometers are used, which outcome measures are used and how the output is interpreted. This limits comparability between studies and the accumulation of knowledge relating to children’s activity. At the end of 2004, experts in accelerometry presented at the conference on ‘Objective Monitoring of Physical Activity: Closing the Gaps in the Science of Accelerometry’ held at the University of North Carolina, USA. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise subsequently published a special issue (November, 2005) containing the papers from this conference. This collection of papers provides an excellent, thorough analysis of the accelerometer literature and the areas where there is no clear consensus and where further research is required. Some of the current issues regarding the use of accelerometry are discussed below: choice of accelerometer, frequency of data collection (time sampling interval or epoch) and translating accelerometer output into meaningful units.

Accelerometers measure acceleration in one to three orthogonal planes (vertical, mediolateral and anteroposterior). Uniaxial accelerometers are normally worn so the sensitive axis is oriented in the vertical plane. Omnidirectional accelerometers are most sensitive in the vertical plane, but are also sensitive to movement in other directions with the output being a composite of the signals (Chen and Bassett, 2005). In contrast, triaxial accelerometers consist of three orthogonal accelerometer units and provide an output for each plane as well as a composite measure. The commercially available accelerometers...
most frequently referred to in the literature are the uniaxial ActiGraph (ActiGraph, Fort Walton Beach, FL, which has also been referred to as the CSA, the MTI and the WAM), the omnidirectional Actical (Mini Mitter Co., Inc., Bend OR) and Actiwatch (Mini Mitter Co., Inc., Bend, OR), and the triaxial RT3 (Stayhealthy, Inc., Monrovia, CA), which superseded the Tritrac.

Evidence suggests that triaxial accelerometers may provide a more valid estimate of children’s physical activity than uniaxial accelerometers (Eston et al., 1998; Louie et al., 1999; Ott et al., 2000; Welk, 2005). However, the difference appears to be small and correlations between uniaxial and triaxial output are high indicating that they are providing similar information (Trost et al., 2005). More recent evidence in adults and children has indicated that uniaxial accelerometry plateaus or even begins to decline at running speeds greater than 10 km.h\(^{-1}\) (Brage et al., 2003a; 2003b; Rowlands et al., 2007). This is largely due to the dominance of horizontal acceleration at moderate to high running speed, rather than vertical acceleration. The incorporation of three vectors in triaxial accelerometry accounts for the variance in the relative dominance of the vectors across the different speeds. The relevance of this to the assessment of children’s habitual activity, where short bursts of high intensity activity are common (Bailey et al., 1995), is yet to be investigated.

The signal from an accelerometer is integrated over a given time interval, or epoch, then summed and stored. Depending on the accelerometer model, the epoch can be set as low as 1 s or as high as several minutes. In the past, the vast majority of studies have set the epoch at 1 min, although this is known to underestimate vigorous and high intensity activity (Nilsson et al., 2002; Rowlands et al., 2006). As appreciation of the sporadic nature of children’s activity has increased, studies have begun to use 10 s epochs (e.g. Hasselstrom et al., 2007). The arbitrary selection of a 1 min epoch has most likely been due to the memory size of accelerometers. For example, the ActiGraph (model 7164) and triaxial RT3 are capable of collecting data at 1 s epochs for a maximum of only nine hours. If output from each of the three vectors of the RT3 is required, as well as the composite vector magnitude, the recording time is reduced to three hours. However, the latest version of the ActiGraph (GT1M) has a memory size of 1 Mb and can collect data at 1 s epochs for nearly six days. This makes it feasible to use epochs ranging from 1 to 15 s to objectively assess the temporal pattern of children’s activity over days at a time with the uniaxial ActiGraph. It should be noted that, at present, the RT3 can only be used in the 1 s mode for nine hours at a time. Further research should address whether short bursts of high intensity activity are underestimated by uniaxial accelerometry, as is the case for fast running.

Baquet et al. (2007) and Chu et al. (2005) utilised high-frequency accelerometry monitoring with the ActiGraph and the RT3, respectively, to assess the pattern of children’s activity. Physical activity patterns were very similar to those from the earlier observation study (Bailey et al., 1995). Furthermore, Chu et al. demonstrated that the intensity of activity bouts was positively related to fitness (r > 0.4, p < 0.05) and the interval between bouts was positively related to fatness (r > 0.6, p < 0.01) in 24 nine year-old Hong Kong Chinese children. However, the duration of the bouts was not related to fitness or fatness. This novel study highlights the potential importance of activity pattern. Further studies should investigate whether temporal aspects of the activity pattern explain variance in health and fitness in addition to that explained by composite variables (e.g. total activity, total time spent in moderate to vigorous activity). For example, research has shown that total time accumulated in vigorous physical activity is related to fatness in children, aged 4 – 6 years (Janz et al., 2002), 5-11 years (Abbott and Davies, 2004), 8-11 years (Ekelund et al., 2004; Rowlands et al., 1999; Rowlands et al., 2006) and adolescents (Gutin et al., 2005). To what extent does the combination of frequency, intensity and duration of activity bouts matter, if the overall activity is the same?

The output from accelerometers is a dimensionless unit commonly referred to as ‘accelerometer counts’. These counts are arbitrary, depending on the specifications of the accelerometer, and therefore cannot be compared between different types of accelerometer (Chen and Bassett, 2005). In order to give biological meaning to the output, these counts have been calibrated with energy expenditure (Freedson et al., 2005). As a result, count thresholds relating to various categories of energy expenditure (including sedentary behaviour) have been published that allow researchers to calculate the amount of time spent at differing intensities of activity for the ActiGraph (e.g. Freedson et al., 1997, Puyau et al., 2002, Treuth et al., 2004, Trost et al., 2002), the Actical (Heil, 2006, Puyau et al., 2004), the Actiwatch (Puyau et al., 2004), the Tritrac (McMurray et al., 2004, Rowlands et al. 1999) and the RT3 (Rowlands et al., 2004). Freedson et al. (2005) has provided a thorough discussion of the development of these thresholds. The number of thresholds available highlights the lack of agreement regarding interpretation of accelerometer output and highlights an ongoing problem with accelerometer research and comparability between studies.

Calibration studies tend to take place in the laboratory environment due to the difficulty of using a criterion measure of energy expenditure in the field. Some studies focus on walking/running activities (Freedson et al., 1997; Trost et al., 1998), while other studies incorporate ‘free play’ activities (Eston et al., 1998; Pfeiffer et al., 2006, Puyau et al., 2002, 2004; Rowlands et al., 2004) into the calibration. Knowledge of the activities used to develop cut-points is important as the activities used to develop the accelerometer threshold counts have a major impact on the thresholds developed. For example, Eisenmann et al. (2004) demonstrated that use of a treadmill-based prediction equation (Trost et al., 1998) to estimate energy expenditure from the ActiGraph underestimated the energy cost of self-paced sweeping, bowling and basketball in 11 year-old boys and girls. However, activities were correctly classified as light or moderate at a group level according to thresholds based on structured activities (Puyau et al., 2002).

Despite the errors apparent when predicting energy expenditure from accelerometer counts, accelerometer counts are generally reported to be moderately to highly correlated with energy expenditure, assessed via a crite-
tion method, across a range of activities. Additionally, accuracy is fair to excellent for the classification of the intensity of an activity as light, moderate or vigorous. This may be sufficient for some research questions. Currently, research is addressing methods of analysing accelerometer data that will allow the mode of activity to be identified and the intensity to be classified once the mode is known (e.g. Crouter et al., 2006; Poer et al., 2006). This would not only improve the accuracy of the estimation of intensity, but also add some degree of qualitative information regarding activity patterns that accelerometers have always lacked.

**Multiple methods**

Physical activity is a complex behaviour and there are limitations associated with all the measurement methods described above. The limitations associated with heart rate monitoring are mainly due to biological variance, whereas the limitations associated with accelerometer are largely biomechanical (Brage et al., 2004). As the errors associated with the two techniques are independent, a combination of the two methods may provide a more accurate estimate of physical activity than either method alone. The Actiheart (Cambridge Neurotechnology, Papworth, UK) is a small (10 g) heart rate recorder with an integrated omnidirectional accelerometer. It is clipped onto two ECG electrodes worn on the chest. Corder et al. (2005) have reported a greater accuracy in the prediction of children’s energy expenditure during treadmill walking and running than either accelerometer or heart rate alone. At present, the cost of the Actiheart prohibits its use in all but small-scale studies. However, the Actiheart could provide a valid criterion measure of physical activity for use in the field.

**Conclusion**

In summary, children’s physical activity is characterised by frequent, short bursts of activity. This pattern has been identified using observation and high-frequency accelerometer monitoring techniques. Due to the nature of children’s activity and children’s limited ability for recall, objective techniques are recommended for the assessment of their physical activity. Heart rate monitoring was the first widely used objective measure of children’s activity. However, whilst it accurately captures sustained bouts of moderate and vigorous activity, it is not suited to the capture of low intensity activity or rapidly changing activity. Pedometers provide an inexpensive valid and reliable assessment of total activity, but do not provide any information regarding the pattern or intensity of activity. Total daily steps undertaken by children have been shown to relate with various aspects of health. Additionally, the pedometer shows promise as a motivational tool for increasing activity as well as a measurement tool. Accelerometry provides a valid and reliable assessment of the pattern of activity, as well as total physical activity, and its use has increased disproportionately since 2001. No single measure is without limitations and the Actiheart, which combines accelerometry and heart rate in one unit, is reported to provide a more accurate estimate of children’s energy expenditure than either measure alone. While the cost of this unit is prohibitive for large-scale studies, it could provide the ideal criterion measure for validation of other measures in the field.
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Key points

- The use of objective measures to assess physical activity in children is recommended.
- Pedometers provide an inexpensive objective measure of total activity that is highly correlated with more sophisticated techniques, e.g. accelerometry, and has been used to identify relationships between health and activity in children.
- Accelerometry allows examination of the temporal pattern and intensity of children’s activity, including sporadic physical activity and bouts of physical activity.
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