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Abstract
The purpose of the presented study was to compare acute and post-exercise differences in cardiorespiratory, metabolic, cardiac autonomic, inflammatory and muscle damage responses to high-intensity interval exercise (HIIT) between endurance and sprint athletes. The study group consisted of sixteen highly-trained males (age 22.1 ± 2.5 years) participating in endurance (n = 8) or sprint (n = 8) sporting events. All the participants underwent three exercise sessions: short HIIT (work interval duration 30s), long HIIT (3min) and constant load exercise (CE). The exercise interventions were matched for mean power, total time and in case of HIIT interventions also for work-to-relief ratio. The acute cardiorespiratory (HR, \(\dot{V}O_2\), RER) and metabolic (lactate) variables as well as the post-exercise changes (up to 3 h) in the heart rate variability, inflammation (interleukin-6, leucocytes) and muscle damage (creatine kinase, myoglobin) were monitored. Endurance athletes performed exercise interventions with moderately (CE) or largely (both HIIT modes) higher mean \(\dot{V}O_2\). These differences were trivial/small when \(\dot{V}O_2\) was expressed as a percentage of \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\). Moderately to largely lower RER and lactate values were found in endurance athletes. Markers of cardiac autonomic regulation, inflammation and muscle damage did not reveal any considerable differences between endurance and sprint athletes. In conclusions, endurance athletes were able to perform both HIIT formats with increased reliance on aerobic metabolic pathways although exercise intensity was identical in relative terms for all the participants. However, other markers of the acute and early post-exercise physiological response to these HIIT interventions indicated similarities between endurance and sprint athletes.
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Introduction
High-intensity muscle work is often required under any competitive sport conditions, no matter whether it is an endurance, sprint/power event or a combination (sport games). Since most sports performances last several minutes to hours, both aerobic and anaerobic capabilities in varied proportions are always necessary. Each athlete is unique, however, and can be characterized from a number of points of view, including the capacity to perform mostly aerobic or mostly anaerobic events as well as the ability to successfully repeat and maintain high-intensity exercise for a prolonged period (Spencer et al., 2005). Muscle fibre type distribution, metabolic and cardiorespiratory regulation and aerobic capacity enable endurance athletes to have an advantage in prolonged endurance events, whereas sprint/power athletes are better suited for high-intensity, short-term, and explosive activities (Kenney et al., 2015). This simple classification is challenged, however, when exercise is described as a combination of both a high-intensity workload and prolonged duration.

High-intensity interval training (HIIT) is a widely used and effective training method in various sports, including both endurance and sprint/power events (Milanović et al., 2015). HIIT requires an integration of a number of physiological systems. The contributions of ATP-phosphocreatine (PCr) and glycolytic metabolic pathway are necessary for achieving high exercise intensity whereas an oxidative metabolic pathway is crucial for maintaining high exercise intensity as long as possible (Buchheit and Laursen, 2013; Tschakert and Hofmann, 2013). Ufland et al. (2013) have demonstrated that sprinters have a lower mean repeated sprint time, but simultaneously also a lower repeated sprint ability. In addition, innate endowment, training history and a consequent ability to perform endurance or power/speed exercise certainly entails that even identical muscle work can be performed with more aerobic and less anaerobic contribution and vice versa. Therefore, a cross-sectional study could be useful in order to assess the manner in which each training background (endurance vs. sprint) influences the response to HIIT, providing important information to assist coaches in adjusting training programs to athlete-specific metabolic characteristics and developing strategies to improve performance.

The response to any stress, including exercise, is complex, highly variable, and involves a myriad of adaptive responses in multiple organ systems (Zierath and Wallberg-Henriksson, 2015). We therefore focused on multiple physiological variables of acute as well as post-exercise response to HIIT. Apart from the description of the acute cardiorespiratory (heart rate, oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production) and metabolic (lactate) response, post-exercise heart rate variability (HRV) was assessed. HRV is considered a tool for cardiac autonomic regulation assessment which provides information about exercise load and post-exercise recovery (Buchheit, 2014).

Biochemical markers of exercise-induced inflammation (interleukin-6, leucocytes) and muscle damage (creatine kinase, myoglobin) were also evaluated (Paulsen et al., 2012). Interleukin-6 (IL-6) has been reported to have pro- as well as anti-inflammatory effects and might
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play an important role in metabolic and musculoskeletal adaptation to exercise (Pedersen and Febbraio, 2012). The high intensity character of HIIT can potentially lead to muscle fibre impairment which can be manifested by increases in concentration of creatine kinase (CK) and myoglobin in plasma (Paulsen et al., 2012). The direct relationships between IL-6, CK, myoglobin versus exercise intensity and duration have been previously noted (Chen et al., 2007; Cullen et al., 2016).

The primary aim of this study was to compare the acute cardiorespiratory and metabolic response to various modes of HIIT between endurance and sprint trained athletes. We assume that endurance trained athletes perform HIIT interventions with lower acute cardiorespiratory and metabolic responses despite a high peak workload intensity, primarily due to their faster oxygen uptake kinetics (Berger and Jones, 2007) and greater maximal muscle oxidative capacity (Dubouchaud et al., 2000) associated with a greater reliance on fat as fuel for the energy supply, more effective acid-base status control (Hawley, 2002) and lactate removal ability (Thomas et al., 2004). Additionally, potential exercise-induced changes in HRV, IL-6, leucocytes, and muscle damage markers may provide unique holistic insight into the question of differences between endurance and sprint type athletes in response to a single bout of HIIT.

Methods

Participants

Sixteen highly-trained males volunteered in this study (Table 1). All the participants were deliberately approached and chosen in order to match the specification of the study subgroups, i.e. regular sport training with the aim of preparing for official national or international competitions in endurance or sprint disciplines. Endurance athletes participated in at least one of the following: 5 km run (1 athlete), tower-running (1 athlete), sky/trail-running (3 athletes), triathlon (2 athletes), long-track in-line skating (1 athlete), cross-country skiing (1 athlete). Sprint athletes participated in 100-400 meters track run.

None of the participants were clinically diagnosed with any chronic or acute cardiovascular, metabolic, respiratory, immunological or musculoskeletal system disorders. None of the participants used any medication. Prior to the participant’s involvement, the local Ethics Committee of the University approved the experimental protocol and the investigation conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were fully-informed about the study details and provided written informed consent.

Experimental design

The participants visited the laboratory on four separate occasions over a 1-2 week interval. During this time, they firstly performed a maximal incremental treadmill test. They consequently performed a short HIIT, a long HIIT, and one constant load exercise (CE) session matched for mean load and total duration. The order of the exercise sessions was chosen at random. All sessions were performed in the morning and were conducted by the same researchers in a thermally-controlled laboratory room.

Preliminary Procedures

All the participants were informed about the experimental procedure during the first laboratory visit. They also completed a short questionnaire about physical activity, acute or chronic diseases and the use of dietary supplements/medication. Anthropometric assessment and a body composition analysis then followed (Tanita BC418MA; Japan).

In order to determine their maximum aerobic capacity (\(\hat{V}O_2\text{max}\)), the minimal running speed required to elicit \(V\text{O}_2\text{max}\) (\(v\text{O}_2\text{max}\)), as well as the first and second ventilatory thresholds (VT1, VT2), participants performed a graded exercise test (GXT) as previously described (Cipryan et al., 2016). Expired air was continuously monitored for an analysis of O2 and CO2 concentrations during the GXT by the use of a breath-by-breath system (ZAN600Ergo; Germany). It was determined that the participants had reached their \(\hat{V}O_2\text{max}\), when at least two of the following criteria were met: (A) a plateau in the \(\hat{V}O_2\) or an increase less than 2.1 mL·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) despite the increasing running speed, (B) a final respiratory exchange ratio (RER) higher than 1.10; (C) an attainment of 95% of the age-predicted maximal heart rate (HR). The \(\hat{V}O_2\text{max}\) was based on the highest average O2 consumption measured during a 30 s period. Gas-exchange measurements were also used to quantify the first and second ventilatory thresholds (VT1 and VT2) (Hofmann and Tschakert, 2011). VT1 was defined as the first increase in ventilation and equivalent for oxygen consumption (VE/VO\(_2\)max), without an increase of the equivalent for the carbon dioxide production (VE/VCO\(_2\)). VT2 was defined as the second increase in VE with an increase in both VE/VO\(_2\) and VE/VCO\(_2\). The final incremental test speed reached at the end of the test (\(v_{\text{inc.}}\)) and at the \(\hat{V}O_2\text{max}\) were calculated.

### Table 1. Basic study groups characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Endurance Athletes</th>
<th>Sprint Athletes</th>
<th>Difference (sprint – endurance athletes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD (n = 8)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (n = 8)</td>
<td>Mean; 90% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>22.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.0 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>70.0 ± 6.6</td>
<td>78.0 ± 8.6</td>
<td>8.1 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>8.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>-6.2 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA (h/week)</td>
<td>13.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>-4.0 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EA – self-reported intentional exercise activity per week. *Magnitude thresholds (for difference in means divided by average SD): <0.20, trivial; 0.20-0.59, small; 0.60-1.19, moderate; 1.20-2.00, large. Asterisks indicate effects clear at the 90% level and likelihood that the true effect is substantial, as follows: *possible, **likely, ***very likely, ****most likely. No asterisks mean that 90% CL overlapped the thresholds for substantiveness (unclear difference).
shown in Table 2. The 8 min warm-up at 50 %
tailed prescriptions for long and short HIIT and CE are
Exercise intervention
The following visits to the laboratory consisted of interval
and continuous exercise interventions. Participants always
were measured before exercise, at the end of warm-up (7-
minutes) and short intervals (30 s) were identical for
HIIT duration (min)
Repetitions
HIIT duration (min)
Total duration (min)

HIIT long intervals  HIIT short intervals Constant Load
Work intensity (% \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \)) 100 100 50
Work duration (s) 180 30 1260
Recovery intensity passive passive ---
Recovery duration (s) 180 30 ---
Work-to-relief ratio 1.1 1.1 ---
\( P_{\text{mean}} \) (% \( P_{\text{max}} \)) 50 50 50
Total duration (min) 32 31.5 32
\( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) - minimal running speed required to elicit \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \), P - power.

Kohn et al., 2011). Heart rate was measured using a chest
belt (Polar Electro; Finland).

The maximal countermovement jump height (Haff
and Dumke, 2012) and the 30 s Bosco test (Bosco et al.,
1983) were performed 30-40 min before GXT in order to
precisely distinguish the differences between the endurance
and sprint study groups.

Exercise intervention
The following visits to the laboratory consisted of interval
and continuous exercise interventions. Participants always
arrived at the laboratory between 7 and 9 a.m., after a
night of fasting (i.e., no breakfast was consumed). De-
tailed prescriptions for long and short HIIT and CE are
shown in Table 2. The 8 min warm-up at 50 % \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \)
was performed before both HIIT. The exercise interven-
tions were ended by 3 min of walking at 5 km.h\(^{-1}\). All
three exercise tests were matched for the total duration
and mean power (Tschatkert and Hofmann, 2013). Long (3
min) and short intervals (30 s) were identical for
work/relief ratio as well as for the relative work and relief
intensity. Ventilatory parameters and HR were monitored
during the exercise. Blood lactate concentrations obtained
from capillary blood samples taken from a finger (20 µL)
were measured before exercise, at the end of warm-up (7-
8th min), and then after each long (3 min) intervals or in
identical time pattern in case of short intervals and con-
stant load exercise (i.e. in 12th, 18th, 24th, and 30th min)
(Accutrend Plus, Roche, Germany).

Recovery monitoring
The participants remained resting in the laboratory for 3 h
post testing to assess the recovery process. HRV was
measured in the supine position before and after the exer-
cise, 1 h, 2 h, and 3 h after the exercise intervention. Blood samples were collected in the sitting position from the
antecubital vein before (PRE) and immediately after the
exercise (POST), 1 h, and 3 h after the exercise inter-
vention.

Fluid and food ingestion after each exercise inter-
vension was standardized. Accordingly, each participant
was provided with carbohydrate-rich, low-fat food (plain
sponge biscuits 240 g; 75.0 g CHO, 11.0 g protein and 4.9
g fat per 100 g; 390 kcal per 100 g) and 1.5 l of sweet
mineral water (21.4 kcal per 100 mL).

HRV analysis
The last 5 min periods of the 10 min supine rest ECG
recordings data were analysed using VarCor PF8 (Dimea
Group Ltd, Czech Republic). This diagnostic system
enables a routine short-term HRV evaluation with respect
to Task Force (Malik et al., 1996) findings and recom-
endations. ECG was sampled at 1000 Hz and the accu-
racies of the measurements was 1 ms. The RR data was
visually validated prior to analysis, i.e. assessment for
stationary, ectopic, missing data or aberrant beats. Ectopic
beats were excluded. Based on the recommendation of
Plewes et al. (Plewes et al., 2013), the vagaly-derived HRV
parameter rMSSD (the square root of the mean sum of the
squared differences between R-R intervals; ms) was used
within this study for post-exercise cardiac autonomic
modulation assessment. HRV analysis was limited to
rMSSD since it reflects vagal activity (Malik et al., 1996)
and has a much greater reliability than other spectral indi-
ces (Cipryan, 2016b) particularly during “free-running”
ambulatory conditions (Penttila et al., 2001).

Venous blood sampling and blood analysis
Venus blood was collected into serum separator tubes.
The samples were allowed to clot for 30 min and subse-
quently centrifuged at 2000 G for 10 min in order to sepa-
rate the serum. The blood serum was consequently divid-
~ into three 1 mL aliquots, which were frozen at -70°C
until analysis. In addition, a separate blood sample was
also collected to assess the leucocytes concentration. The
S-Monovette® system (Sarstedt, Germany) was used for
blood sample collection.

Blood samples were analysed for high sensitive
IL-6 (IL-6), leucocytes, creatine kinase (CK), and myo-
globin (Mb). The IL-6 concentrations were measured
using a high sensitivity Quantikine ELISA kit (R & D
Systems, Minneapolis, MA, USA) on a DXS device
(DSX, Dynex Technologies, Chantilly, VA, USA). Leu-
cocytes were measured with a UNICEF DxF 800 Coulter
device. CK was measured with an AU 2700 device
(Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA). Myoglobin (Mb) was
measured with a Unicel Dxi 800 instrument (Beckman
Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA). The analysis of IL-6, leucocytes,
CK, and Mb revealed intra-assay coefficients of a varia-
tion of 4.4, 3.0, 5.7, and 3.8 %, respectively.

Statistical analysis
Collected data were checked to detect outliers and to
verify sampling distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test; \( P < 0.05 \)).
Outliers were removed and not included in the statistical
analysis. An observed (or log-transformed) value was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise test characteristics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work intensity (% ( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work duration (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery duration (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work-to-relief ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{\text{mean}} ) (% ( P_{\text{max}} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT duration (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration (min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
detected as an outlier if it was less/greater than the lower/upper quartile ± 1.5 times the interquartile range. The data were log-transformed using a natural logarithm if a non-normality or heteroscedasticity was revealed. Since traditional null hypothesis significance testing has been extensively criticised (Nuzzo, 2014; Hopkins and Batterham, 2016; Wasserstein and Lazar, 2016) magnitude based inferences were employed for further statistical analysis. Standardised changes in mean (Effect size, ES) and 90% confidence limits (90% CI) were calculated for differences between groups. Threshold values for ES statistics were <0.2 (trivial), ≥0.2 (small), ≥0.6 (moderate), ≥1.2 (large), ≥2.0 (very large), ≥4.0 (nearly perfect). The exact probabilities were expressed and the magnitude of the difference was also evaluated qualitatively as follows: 25-75% possibly, 75-95% likely, 95-99.5% very likely, >99.5% most likely. The probability that the true difference was substantial was estimated from the smallest worthwhile change/difference (0.2 x between-individual standard deviation). If the probability of higher or lower differences was >5%, i.e. the confidence interval overlapped the thresholds for substantive-ness, then the true difference was deemed unclear (Batterham and Hopkins, 2006; Hopkins et al., 2009). Statistical analyses were performed using statistical spreadsheets (Hopkins, 2006) and IBM SPSS Statistics.

Cardiorespiratory and lactate response
The differences between endurance and sprint athletes in the HR response (both expressed in absolute values or as % of individual HRmax) were unclear for all the exercise interventions (Table 4, Figure 1). Endurance athletes performed short HIIT, long HIIT and CE with largely, very largely, and moderately, respectively, higher mean $\dot{V}O_{2}$. These differences were trivial to small when $\dot{V}O_{2}$ was expressed as a function of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ (Table 4, Figure 2). Moderately to largely lower RER and lower lactate values were found in endurance athletes (Table 4, Figure 3 and 4).

Post-exercise HRV
The ln rMSSD values decreased immediately after both HIIT with the most pronounced changes in HIIT with long intervals. Ln rMSSD subsequently returned to the baseline values 1 h after the exercise cessation. The differences between endurance and sprint athletes in response to all the exercise interventions were not substantial (Figure 5A).

Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and leucocytes
The post-exercise concentrations in IL-6 and leucocytes increased in all exercise interventions with a subsequent drop in 1h and 3h samples. The differences between endurance and sprint athletes in response to the exercise interventions were not substantial. Small differences in response to the exercise interventions were observed in 1h vs. PRE comparisons in the short HIIT for IL-6 (ES ± 90% CI; -0.53 ± 0.67) as well as with leucocytes (0.52 ± 0.70). A moderate difference in the response between groups was found in the POST vs. PRE comparison (0.84 ± 0.60) for leucocytes changes in the long HIIT (Figure 5B-C).

Creatine kinase (CK) and myoglobin
All the differences in the CK response to the exercise Intervention for the endurance vs. sprint athletes were unclear.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Baseline exercise test results.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ (mL/kg/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ (km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vinct. (km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRmax (bpm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT1 (% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT2 (% $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}V_{T1}$ (km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}V_{T2}$ (km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GXT duration (mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPE (points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE (W/kg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ – maximal oxygen consumption, $\dot{V}O_{2\text{min}}$ – minimal running speed required to elicit $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, vinct. – peak incremental test speed, HRmax – maximal heart rate, VT1 / VT2 – first / second ventilatory threshold in % of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, $\dot{V}V_{T1}$ / $\dot{V}V_{T2}$ – running speed associated with VT1 / VT2, GXT – graded exercise test, RPE – Borg’s rating of perceived exertion, CMJ – countermovement jump height, PE – power endurance (30s Bosco test). *Magnitude thresholds for difference (in means divided by average SD): <0.20, trivial; 0.20-0.59, small; 0.60-1.19, moderate; 1.20-2.00, large. Asterisks indicate effects clear at the 90% level and likelihood that the true effect is substantial, as follows: *possible, **likely, ***very likely, ****most likely. No asterisks mean that 90% CI overlapped the thresholds for substantive uncles (unclear difference).
Table 4. Acute responses to the short and long HIIT and CE as well as the between-group differences (warm-up and cool-down excluded).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Endurance Athletes Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Sprint Athletes Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Difference (sprint – endurance athletes) Mean;90% CI</th>
<th>Inference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean HR (bpm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 30 s</td>
<td>158.1 ± 14.2</td>
<td>158.4 ± 17.8</td>
<td>0.4; ± 14.3</td>
<td>trivial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 3 min</td>
<td>160.5 ± 12.2</td>
<td>163.6 ± 13.4</td>
<td>3.1; ± 11.4</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>133.6 ± 12.0</td>
<td>135.4 ± 11.8</td>
<td>1.8; ± 10.5</td>
<td>trivial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean HR (%) HRmax</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 30 s</td>
<td>79.7 ± 5.0</td>
<td>80.2 ± 6.5</td>
<td>0.6; ± 5.1</td>
<td>trivial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 3 min</td>
<td>80.9 ± 4.5</td>
<td>82.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>1.9; ± 3.5</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>67.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>68.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>1.3; ± 3.7</td>
<td>trivial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (\dot{V}O_2) (mL/kg/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 30 s</td>
<td>39.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>35.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>-4.4; ± 2.1</td>
<td>large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 3 min</td>
<td>43.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>37.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>-6.1; ± 2.5</td>
<td>very large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>32.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>29.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>-3.2; ± 2.5</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (\dot{V}O_2) (%) (\dot{V}O_2\max)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 30 s</td>
<td>59.7 ± 3.7</td>
<td>62.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>2.4; ± 4.4</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 3 min</td>
<td>66.0 ± 6.6</td>
<td>66.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td>0.3; ± 5.5</td>
<td>trivial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>49.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>52.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>2.8; ± 4.7</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean RER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 30 s</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0; ± 0.0</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 3 min</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0; ± 0.0</td>
<td>large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.0; ± 0.0</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RPE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 30 s</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.0; ± 1.2</td>
<td>trivial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIIT 3 min</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>15.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>-1.8; ± 1.7</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.1; ± 1.3</td>
<td>trivial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIIT – high-intensity interval exercise, CE – constant load exercise, HR – heart rate, \(\dot{V}O_2\) – oxygen consumption, RER – respiratory exchange ratio, RPE – rating of perceived exertion. Magnitude thresholds (for difference in means divided by average SD): <0.20, trivial; 0.20-0.59, small; 0.60-1.19, moderate; 1.20-2.00, large; 2.01-4.00, very large. Asterisks indicate effects clear at the 90% level and likelihood that the true effect is substantial, as follows: *possible, **likely, ***very likely, ****most likely. No asterisks mean that 90% CI overlapped the thresholds for substantiveness (unclear difference).

Discussion

The major overall finding of this study was that endurance and sprint athletes differed only in the metabolic manner in which they performed a single bout of HIIT whereas the acute cardiorespiratory and post-exercise autonomic and biochemical variables did not manifest any considerable differences in response to the various forms of HIIT. The sprint athletes performed HIIT with moderately higher RER and moderately higher lactate values than endurance athletes even though exercise intensity was individually adjusted at an identical relative intensity (% \(\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}\)).

Figure 1. Mean heart rate response for short and long intervals and matched continuous exercise in endurance (left) and sprint type (right) athletes.
Figure 2. Mean Oxygen consumption (VO₂) for short and long intervals and matched continuous exercise in endurance (left) and sprint type (right) athletes.

Figure 3. Mean Respiratory exchange ratio (RER) for short and long intervals and matched continuous exercise in endurance (left) and sprint type (right) athletes.

Figure 4. Mean blood lactate concentration for short and long intervals and matched continuous exercise in endurance (left) and sprint type (right) athletes. # likely / very likely moderately higher than in Endurance athletes. ##very likely largely higher than in Endurance athletes. All other comparisons are unclear.
Fig. 5A-E. Mean (±SD) Ln rMSSD (A), interleukin-6 (IL-6) (B), leucocytes (C), creatine kinase (D), and myoglobin (E) responses for short and long intervals and matched continuous exercise in endurance (left) and sprint type (right) athletes. The differences in changes in time between endurance and sprint athletes expressed as magnitude-based inferences and their likelihood are shown (*possible, **likely, ***very likely, ****most likely. No asterisk means that 90% CL overlapped the thresholds for substantiveness - unclear difference) (see also Methods).
Acute cardiorespiratory response

Five HIIT formats have recently been introduced (Buchheit and Laursen, 2013). Two of them, HIIT with long intervals (work duration >2-3 min) and HIIT with short intervals (work duration ≥15 s), were employed within this study. Intervals with long work durations (long HIIT) induce a higher anaerobic glycolytic energy contribution and higher neuromuscular load than intervals with short work duration (short HIIT) (Buchheit and Laursen, 2013). HIIT can also be classified as aerobic or anaerobic, based on the presence or lack of a lactate steady state (Tschakert and Hofmann, 2013).

The long HIIT clearly generated a higher cardiovascular response (higher peak HR values and HR oscillation) whereas the mean HR was similar for both HIIT formats. As was previously shown by Tschakert et al. (Tschakert et al., 2015), this is the expected finding of the presented study since the mean load and exercise duration were identical for both HIIT formats. Most importantly, there were no substantial differences between endurance and sprint athletes in the HR response to both HIIT formats and CE.

A substantially more extensive $\dot{V}O_2$ response was elicited during the long HIIT with similar high $\dot{V}O_2$ peaks (%$\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$) exceeding VT2 in both endurance and sprint athletes (Figure 2). This greater $\dot{V}O_2$ response was in all probability associated with the development of a $\dot{V}O\_2$ slow component (Åstrand et al., 1960) as well as with the exercise intensity prescribed at 100 % of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ that emerged as insufficient to elicit a similar cardiorespiratory and metabolic response in short HIIT. Therefore, a slight modification of the short HIIT design, i.e. shorter sprint with supramaximal exercise intensities, is recommended for training practice. Such alteration would also probably show a greater difference between endurance and sprint athletes as previously demonstrated by Ufland et al. (2013).

Endurance athletes performed all the exercise interventions with a moderately (CE) to largely (both HIIT formats) higher mean $\dot{V}O_2$ than sprint athletes, corresponding to the higher performance achieved in GXT. Since the mean, however, of the individually prescribed running speed was moderately different and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ was largely different between the groups of endurance and sprint athletes, the $\dot{V}O_2$ values were also expressed per running velocity or as % $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, which brought about trivial or at the most small between-group differences (Figure 2).

The substantially lower mean acute cardiorespiratory response to the constant load exercise in this investigation, when compared to HIITs, is in contrast to Tschakert et al. (2015) and might be considered unexpected since the mean power for all the exercise interventions was matched in advance. This fact could have been partly caused by short breaks (approx. 20 s) in running during CE for regular capillary blood withdrawal which made the actual final mean power 3.4 % lower than in both HIITs. This shortcoming of the constant load design did not, however, induce a substantial difference. When these breaks in running were removed from the statistical analysis, the mean HR was higher by 0.9 and 1.2 bpm for endurance and sprint athletes, respectively. Similarly, mean $\dot{V}O_2$ only increased by 1.0 mL O2.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ for both study groups. The running speed prescribed at 50 % of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ for the constant load was in all probability too low for these highly trained athletes to elicit a sufficient cardiorespiratory response.

Acute metabolic response

The capillary blood lactate concentration increased remarkably after the warm-up in both HIIT interventions, particularly with the most pronounced increase in the long-interval format. As presented in classical physiological literature (Saltin and Essén, 1971), this higher metabolic response during the long HIIT was expected. Differences between endurance and sprint athletes were apparent later during the HIITs with likely/very likely moderately higher lactate concentrations in sprint athletes. Unlike endurance athletes, there was a gradual increase in the lactate concentrations during both HIIT formats in sprint athletes which indicated a missing balance between lactate production and lactate consumption. Endurance athletes manifested a lactate steady state or even switched to lactate elimination indicated by a slight (but not substantial) lactate decrease in the long intervals.

A moderate to large difference between endurance and sprint athletes was revealed regarding RER. Accepting the fact that energy production is provided to a substantial extent by anaerobic metabolic pathways when RER value exceeds the 1.0 level (Kenney et al., 2015), it is apparent (Figure 3) that in endurance athletes only the first interval considerably stimulated the anaerobic glycolytic system while the second to fourth interval was mostly aerobic also indicated by a decreased net lactate increase in blood. In contrast, the first three intervals in sprint athletes relied more on anaerobic lactate production. The higher aerobic capacity in endurance athletes definitely plays an important role and in all probability explains this fact (Hetlelid et al., 2015). However, it needs to be mentioned that RER might also be influenced by other factors, such as dietary fat intake, muscle glycogen content and circulating substrates (Venables, Achten and Jeukendrup, 2005).

The progressive RER decrease and its peak values below the 1.0 level in later intervals, particularly in endurance athletes, raises the question as to the meaning of such a HIIT session. Coaches and athletes need to be cautious if the development of the glycolytic metabolic pathway is the main aim of such a training program. It has previously been demonstrated that an elevated H⁺ from a first high intensity interval may completely inhibit glycogen phosphorylase activity (glycogenolytic flux) and simultaneously maintain a high level of pyruvate dehydrogenase activity during subsequent high intensity intervals which means a shift towards a greater reliance on oxidative phosphorylation (Parolin et al., 1999). Contradictorily to the presented results, this explanation would mean that the sprint athletes manifesting higher lactate values will have reduced mean RER. However, the RER decrease is obviously more pronounced in the sprint athletes but remaining higher at the end due to the high ini-
tional values in the first exercise interval (Figure 3).

It is worth mentioning that the metabolic response to short HIIT was close to the response to CE, which is in accordance with Wallner et al. (2014) and supports characterizing such short HIIT as mostly aerobically balanced exercise even if the cardiorespiratory and lactate responses oscillate intensively in the short HIIT compared to CE. Our results indicated, however, that this is only valid for endurance trained athletes since a steady state during short HIIT was not achieved amongst the majority of the sprint athletes. This finding does not signify that the short HIIT and constant load exercise can be interchangeable, since a higher performance improvement after HIIT has previously been observed (Helgerud et al., 2007).

**Post-exercise HRV**

The post-exercise cardiac autonomic regulation, assessed by HRV, is a compound measure influenced by a number of factors such as blood pressure regulation, baroreflex activity and primarily metaboreflex which drives sympathetic withdrawal and parasympathetic reactivation (Stanley et al., 2013; Buchheit, 2014). Since the anaerobic contribution appears to be of primary importance in determining the level of parasympathetic reactivation, post-exercise HRV might be viewed as a marker of exercise intensity (Buchheit et al., 2007) as well as cardiovascular homeostasis restoration (Stanley et al., 2013).

The post-exercise ln rMSSD decrease was considerable in both HIIT formats. Since the metaboreflex is the most important post-exercise HRV determinant in high intensity exercise (Buchheit, 2014), the observed more extensive ln rMSSD decrease after long HIIT is predictable. The ln rMSSD values, however, were almost completely restored 1 h after the exercise. The differences between endurance and sprint athletes in response to the exercise interventions were not substantial (Figure 5A). This finding is in contrast to Stanley et al. (2013) or Seiler et al. (2007) who demonstrated a close association between the fitness level and the magnitude of the reduction of the post-exercise cardiac parasympathetic modulation as well as in the speed of restoration. Specifically, the higher the fitness level, the lower the post-exercise cardiac autonomic suppression and the shorter the time needed for recovery is suggested. This indicates that the difference in cardiorespiratory fitness between endurance and sprint type athletes needs to be much more pronounced to induce a meaningful difference in the post-exercise cardiac autonomic restoration (Cipryan, 2016a).

**Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and leucocytes**

The immediate post-exercise increases in IL-6 and leucocytes were evident following all exercise interventions. These responses were much greater in HIIT trials than that of the continuous exercise of the same duration and mean power (Figure 5B-C). In agreement with a recent study (Cullen et al., 2016), it is apparent that exercise intensity significantly influences the IL-6 response. The magnitude of the IL-6 increase reported in this study was, however, considerably smaller than those observed following exercise of a longer duration such as a marathon (Suzuki et al., 2003) indicating exercise duration as an additional crucial factor (Fischer, 2006).

As regards the primary aim of this study, there were almost no substantial differences between endurance and sprint athletes in the post-exercise IL-6 and leucocytes concentration changes. The only exceptions were small to moderate differences in the PRE vs. 1h comparison for the short HIIT (both IL-6 and leucocytes) and PRE vs. POST for the long HIIT. Since the exercise induced inflammation might also be considered an important factor for eliciting a training adaptation (Pedersen and Febbraio, 2012; Nieman et al., 2015), the presented results demonstrated a similar response to these training stimulus between endurance and sprint trained athletes, at least from this point of view. The considerable individual variation in IL-6, particularly in endurance athletes, needs to be pointed out.

**Creatine kinase (CK) and myoglobin**

Exercise-induced muscle damage occurs following uncustomed and/or very vigorous exercise. Even if a ‘gold standard’ for exercise-induced muscle damage assessment has not been established yet in human research, various markers are usually applied. Apart from other factors (e.g. histological observation, changes in muscle force-generating capacity), increased levels of CK and myoglobin rank among those signs of exercise-induced muscle damage (Paulsen et al., 2012). Since its severity also depends on exercise intensity (Chen et al., 2007) evaluation of the CK and myoglobin changes in response to exercise might be helpful for the presented holistic approach of distinguishing the differences between endurance and sprint athletes.

All the differences between the study groups in response to the exercise interventions were trivial for CK and small to at most moderate or not substantial for myoglobin. Neither the above-mentioned physiological variables nor the CK and myoglobin measures revealed any considerable differences between endurance and sprint type athletes that could be easily generalized.

**Practical applications**

- It seems that the various forms of HIIT with identical relative exercise intensity may target the metabolic systems in a slightly different manner regarding the training background.
- Endurance athletes manifested the ability to achieve a steady state even in high-intensity interval exercise. This indicates the importance of aerobic capacity development for prolonged high intensity muscle performance, such as in sport games performance.
- In the case of well-trained athletes, the exercise intensity of 100 % \( v \cdot V_{\text{O}_{2\max}} \) in short HIIT was not sufficient to achieve comparable cardiorespiratory and metabolic responses as expected for long HIIT. Apart from running velocity, manipulation of other training variables such as active recovery and a higher work-to-relief ratio need to be considered for short HIIT.
- Matching short and long HIIT for metabolic and cardiorespiratory responses, substantially higher adaptive
stimuli on the neuromuscular system might be expected for the short HIIT which is usually completed with higher exercise intensities.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that endurance athletes are able to perform both HIIT formats (short and long work intervals) with increased reliance on aerobic metabolic pathways although exercise intensity was identical in relative terms for all the participants. Despite this difference, the mean $\dot{V}O_2$ (expressed as a percentage of $\dot{V}O_2$max) and HR as well as markers of the cardiac autonomic regulation, systemic inflammation and muscle damage monitored during the early recovery phase did not demonstrate any differences between endurance and sprint trained individuals.
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Key points
- The manner in which each training background (endurance vs. sprint) influences the response to HIIT is not well known.
- Despite the identical exercise intensity in relative terms, endurance athletes are able to perform HIIT with increased reliance on aerobic metabolic pathways when compared to sprint athletes.
- The mean \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (% \( \dot{V}O_{2max} \)) and HR as well as markers of the cardiac autonomic regulation, systemic inflammation and muscle damage monitored during the early recovery phase did not demonstrate any differences between endurance and sprint trained individuals.
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