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Abstract
The effects of magnesium supplementation on blood pressure (BP) have been studied for over 25 years and results have been inconsistent. Blood pressure reductions in randomized studies have varied from 12 mmHg reductions to no reduction. The objective of this pilot intervention was to investigate the effect of magnesium supplementation on systolic blood pressure whilst resting and during recovery from aerobic and resistance exercise and on performance. A further objective was to see whether the effect of a high vs low habitual dietary magnesium intake affected these results. Sixteen male volunteers were randomly assigned to either a 300 mg·d⁻¹ magnesium oxide supplementation (MO) or a control group (CG) for 14 days. Resting blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were measured before subjects performed a maximal 30 minute cycle, immediately followed by three x 5 second isometric bench press, both at baseline and after the intervention. Blood pressure and heart rate were recorded immediately post exercise and after five minutes recovery. A 3 day food diary was recorded for all subjects to measure dietary magnesium intake. At the end of the intervention, the supplemented group, had a reduction in mean resting systolic BP by 8.9 mmHg (115.125 ± 9.46 mmHg, p = 0.01) and post exercise by 13 mmHg (122.625 ± 9.88 mmHg, p = 0.01). Recovery BP was 11.9 mmHg lower in the intervention group compared to control (p = 0.006) and HR decreased by 7 beats per minute in the experimental group (69.0 ± 11.6 bpm, p = 0.02). Performance indicators did not change within and between the groups. Habitual dietary magnesium intake affected both resting and post exercise systolic BP and the subsequent effect of the magnesium supplementation. These results have an implication in a health setting and for health and exercise but not performance.
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Introduction
Magnesium, one of the most abundant minerals in the body, is essential for over 300 biochemical processes and plays important roles in activating cellular enzymatic activity, such as those needed to synthesize DNA and RNA, and also in metabolism (Musso, 2009). For athletes it is important because of its involvement in glycolysis, the citric acid cycle and creatine phosphate production. It has also been suggested that free magnesium levels can affect excitation contraction coupling of the myocardium (Michailova et al., 2004). Magnesium has been consistently linked with a reduction in blood pressure within both a clinically hypertensive population and a normotensive population (Itoh et al., 1997). Meta-analyses of randomized studies by Jee et al., (2002) and Kass et al. (2012) on the effects of magnesium on BP shows dose dependent reductions. A study by Itoh et al., (1997) on 33 normotensive patients showed that magnesium lowered BP after 4 weeks. The authors attributed the reduction to the excretion of sodium in urine which acts as a relaxant to the blood vessels. However, Doyle et al. (1999) performed a study on healthy females and found no reduction in BP with increased magnesium intake after 4 weeks.

Exercise causes magnesium levels in the body to be depleted through losses in sweat, urine and alterations in the blood magnesium levels (Rayssiguier et al., 1990). An athlete will therefore have a higher requirement for daily magnesium intake than the sedentary population. Athletes on calorie restricted diets may also be at risk of deficiency.

Evidence shows that exercise causes a redistribution of magnesium to the active sites in the body (Nielsen and Lukaski, 2006), and that deficiency of the mineral negatively affects performance (Newhouse and Finstad, 2000). However, there has been little evidence to show that magnesium supplementation in adults with adequate magnesium intake will increase performance (Laires and Monteiro, 2007).

There are two suggested mechanisms for the effect of magnesium on systolic BP at rest. One mechanism is that magnesium acts as a driving force of the sodium pump in the cell membrane and mobilizes more sodium to be excreted (Bara et al., 1993). Reduction of intracellular sodium may cause the smooth muscle cells in the vascular walls to relax and BP to reduce. Another suggested mechanism is that magnesium acts as a calcium channel blocker (Touyz, 2004), working as a relaxant of the smooth muscle in blood vessels, increasing arterial compliance and reducing BP.

There have been suggestions of an inverse relationship between daily dietary magnesium intake and BP (Kawano et al, 1998; Ma et al. 1995), however, dietary magnesium is rarely assessed in the studies reviewed and no large studies have looked at the impact of dietary magnesium on BP in hypertensive patients. Common foods which contain magnesium are grains such as buckwheat flour and oat bran, vegetables such as artichoke, spinach and black and white beans and nuts for example almonds and brazils. It should be considered however that the daily dietary intake of magnesium in...
Western society has been declining from about 500 mg·day⁻¹ in the 1900’s to a value closer to 175 mg·day⁻¹ (Altura, 1994), increasing the likelihood of an individual being deficient in magnesium. This figure falls somewhat short of the current UK RNI outlined by the UK Food Standards Agency, (2003) of 300 mg·day⁻¹ for men and 270 mg·day⁻¹ for women (12.35 mmol and 11.10 mmol).

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no studies have addressed how magnesium impacts maximal aerobic exercise and performance in healthy individuals. Further there are no studies which look at the effect of magnesium supplementation in those with a high vs low habitual dietary magnesium intake.

Although reviews have shown a reduction in blood pressure with magnesium supplementation, (Jee et al., 2002; Kass et al., 2012) there is limited research on the effect of supplementation on BP during exercise or on performance. It is important for magnesium levels to stay high during recovery to affect cellular metabolism and protein synthesis (Groff and Gropper, 2000). Therefore low magnesium levels may impair muscle recovery and BP during and post exercise. Normal BP response post exercise is rapid hypotension (Orri et al., 2004). However, this may differ in response to magnesium supplementation and in the extent of hypotension.

The aims of this pilot investigation were to determine 1) the relationship between magnesium supplementation and systolic BP at rest and post exercise and its effect on performance, 2) to determine whether a high or low dietary magnesium intake impacts on these results. Further objectives were to investigate any changes in heart rate throughout.

Methods

Subjects

Sixteen male subjects were recruited. They were all undertaking aerobic exercise (>4 hours per week), apparently healthy and with no physical impairments. Exercise was defined as any physical activity perceived to be at 13 or above on The Borg Scaling of Perceived Exertion. Fifteen subjects were white Caucasian and 1 was Asian. Subjects volunteered to take part in the project and completed a consent form and health screen. Ethical approval was received from The University of Hertfordshire, Life Science Ethics Committee. Full inclusion and exclusion criteria can be seen in Table 1.

Design

Subjects were randomized to either the supplement or the control group. Subjects in both groups were asked to come in for familiarization and then twice for testing over a 4 week period, with only the experimental group taking the magnesium supplementation and the control group undertaking the same tests but with no supplementation. A total of 3 visits to the laboratory were required from each of the subjects.

Protocol

Familiarization and Test 1

Diet: Subjects were asked to complete a 3 day dietary recall to assess habitual magnesium dietary intake using dedicated software (Diehtplan 6.50 Forestfield Software Ltd., West Sussex, UK). Dietary intake was categorized into high and low categories based on the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food and Nutrition Policy (COMA, 1991) calculated a Reference Nutrient Intake (RNI) of 300 mg/day for adult males (<300 mg·d⁻¹ and ≥300 mg·d⁻¹).

Supplementation: Supplements consisted of a vegetarian capsules filled with 150mg of medical grade Magnesium Oxide Light 13138 (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA). Each capsule was individually weighed (Ohaus adventurer balance AR1550 New Jersey, USA), Subjects were instructed to take two tablets per day resulting in a total of 300mg per day per subject, one in the morning on waking, and at the end of the day for a total of 14 days.

Exercise familiarization: On the first visit to the laboratory subjects were shown the equipment and the full protocol was carried out as described below in order that the subjects were able to familiarize themselves with the process. They were then invited back to the laboratory in a time frame of 3-7 days after familiarization had taken place for the protocol to be repeated and baseline measurements recorded. Supplementation was distributed after baseline measurements were recorded. The protocol was repeated 14 days after baseline measurements had been taken.

Exercise protocol: Following 10 minutes of silent rest, a 3 minute warm up at self-selected pace was undertaken on the cycle ergometer (Monark Ergomedic 874 E Cycle Ergometer, Monark Sports and Medical, Sweden), with 1kg load, with heart rate kept below 140bpm. A Polar T31 transmitter (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland), measured heart rate throughout all exercise. Subjects then cycled on the cycle ergometer with a 1kg load for 30 minutes at their maximal capacity in order to achieve the greatest distance in the allocated time. After completion of the 30 minute maximal cycle a
two minutes rest was given with subjects being advised to continue to pedal for at least 60 seconds of the two minute interval at self-selected intensity that was lower than that undertaken during the 30 minute trial. After the two minute interval, 3 x 5 second maximal isometric bench press contractions were completed, each separated by a 30 seconds rest. The isometric contraction was undertaken with the subject supine on a bench and pushing maximally against a static metal bar on the Marcy Smith with the same measurements being taken. Subjects came in on the same time of day, and the same tester was present during both tests for all subjects.

Data analysis
Food diaries were input into Dietplan 6 (Dietplan 6.50 Forestfield Software Ltd., West Sussex, UK) and subjects were stratified into high and low dietary magnesium intake based on these results. Data were then analyzed for the cohort as a whole and again stratified for low and high habitual dietary intake. All data were analyzed using Excel and SPSS7 (PASW Statistics v17.0.2 IBM, New York, USA) and tested for normality using a Shapiro-Wilk test. As the data were normally distributed, independent t-tests were performed between groups, and dependent paired t-tests between tests to assess significance. The alpha value was set at 0.5.

Results
Sixteen male subjects were recruited (age 20.88 ± 1.82 yrs, height 1.80 ± 0.07 m, weight 73.91 ± 12.49 kg, resting systolic blood pressure 124.63 ± 6.05mmHg). Baseline characteristics were further broken down into the control and experimental group (Table 2). No statistical significance difference was found between the groups.

In the supplemental group three subjects were above the RDA (300 mg·d⁻¹) and 5 were below. The control group had an even amount of subjects above and below the RDA.

Blood pressure
At baseline there were no significant differences between the groups in blood pressure at any of the time points (Table 3). Post intervention there was a significant

## Table 2. Subject characteristics (n=16). Values are means (± Standard Deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Control (n = 8)</th>
<th>Experimental (n = 8)</th>
<th>All (n = 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>21.38 (1.60)</td>
<td>20.38 (2.00)</td>
<td>20.88 (1.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.83 (.04)</td>
<td>1.78 (.08)</td>
<td>1.80 (.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>74.44 (10.30)</td>
<td>73.39 (15.01)</td>
<td>73.91 (12.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHR (bpm)</td>
<td>74 (12)</td>
<td>76 (12)</td>
<td>75 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>125.25 (5.06)</td>
<td>122.75 (7.09)</td>
<td>124.63 (6.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>70.75 (7.09)</td>
<td>71.13 (10.06)</td>
<td>71.00 (8.42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RHR = Resting heart rate, RSBP = Resting systolic blood pressure, RDBP = Resting diastolic blood pressure.

## Table 3. Summary of mean blood pressure values, at baseline and week 2 for both subject groups. Values are means (± Standard Deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>125.3 (5.1)</td>
<td>124.0 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>136.3 (4.3)</td>
<td>135.6 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rec SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>117.5 (8.5)</td>
<td>118.1 (16.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHR (bpm)</td>
<td>74 (12)</td>
<td>76 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR15 (bpm)</td>
<td>155 (20)</td>
<td>152 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR30 (bpm)</td>
<td>180 (12)</td>
<td>187 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RecHR (bpm)</td>
<td>102 (15)</td>
<td>101 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates significance at week 2 compared to baseline (p < 0.05). † indicates significance between control and experimental groups at week 2 (p < 0.05). RSBP – Resting systolic blood pressure. PostSBP – Post exercise systolic blood pressure. RecSBP – Systolic blood pressure after 5 minutes recovery. RHR – Resting heart rate. HR15 – Heart rate at 15 minutes cycling. HR30 – Heart rate at 30 minutes cycling. HR30 – Heart rate at 30 minutes cycling. RecHR – Heart rate after 5 minutes recovery.
Figure 1. Mean resting systolic blood pressure change in the experimental and control groups split into high (≥300mg) and low (<300mg) magnesium intake subgroups between baseline and week 2.

Figure 2. Mean post exercise systolic blood pressure change in the experimental and control groups split into high (≥300mg) and low (<300mg) magnesium intake subgroups between baseline and week 2.

difference between the control and the supplemented group for resting pre-exercise, post and recovery blood pressure. A significant difference from baseline was also seen in the supplemented group for resting pre-exercise and post exercise blood pressure after 2 weeks supplementation.

RSBP decreased by 7.7% post intervention in the experimental group compared to baseline ($p = 0.017$) and inter-group between experimental and control post intervention ($p = 0.014$). Post SBP decreased by 10.6% at follow-up in the experimental group compared to baseline ($p = 0.017$) and between experimental and control groups at follow up ($p = 0.000$) (Table 3).

Rec SBP decreased by 7.0% at follow-up in the experimental group compared to baseline ($p = 0.006$) although no significance was found for Rec SBP at follow-up between the baseline and experimental group (Table 3).

Habitual dietary magnesium intake

There was no significant difference found for habitual magnesium intake between control and experimental subjects ($p = 0.18$). When stratified by dietary magnesium intake, the supplemented group showed significance in resting systolic BP at week 2 compared to baseline for the low habitual dietary magnesium intake ($114.0 \pm 11.6$ mmHg, $p = 0.02$) (Figure 1) and in the high magnesium intake group post exercise ($120.7 \pm 1.5$ mmHg, $p = 0.01$) (Figure 2). The control group showed no significant change in either resting or post exercise SBP at week 2 compared to baseline (Figures 1 and 2).

However, significance was found in resting systolic BP at week 2 compared to baseline in the low magnesium intake group ($114.00 \pm 11.60$ mmHg, $p = 0.02$) within the intervention group.

Performance

Cycling time trial distance increased from baseline to week 2 significantly in both groups, however this increased distance was lower at week 2 in the supplemented group compared to the control group. In the experimental group baseline distance was $20.5 \pm 2.5$ Km and after the intervention increased to $22.7 \pm 2.4$Km an increase of 10.6%. However, in the control group baseline
distance was 19.4 ± 1.8 Km and at the end of the trial was 22.1 ± 2.3 Km, an increase of 14.1%.

The 3 isometric bench press weights were averaged and percentage change calculated between baseline and week 2. Average strength increased by 11.4% in the control group, from 24.2 ± 8.67 kg to 26.9 ± 8.08 kg and decreased by 18.0% in the experimental group from 35.50 ± 16.72 kg to 29.0 ± 10.88 kg. No significant changes were shown.

Heart rate and RER

No significant difference was found between the control group and the experimental group at any time point. For the RER at the 30 minute point the intervention group changed from 1.14 ± 0.13 to 1.10 ± 0.07 from baseline to week 2 and the control group from 1.06 ± 0.03 to 1.11 ± 0.02 from baseline to week 2. No significance change was shown. For heart rate, as expected, significance was found timely in the change from resting to recovery heart rate and throughout the 30 minute cycle in both the control and supplemented group (Table 3).

Discussion

In this pilot study resting, post exercise and recovery systolic BP significantly decreased from baseline to week 2 for the magnesium supplemented group when compared to the control group. This is consistent with other studies that have reported significant reductions of 4.1 mmHg and 5 mmHg respectively for systolic BP (Itoh et al., 1997; Kawano et al., 1998).

Different to most supplementation studies, this pilot investigation looked at whether habitual magnesium intake influenced the BP results at both resting and post exercise conditions. The data were used to stratify results for both the supplemented and control group into high and low magnesium intake. Although not the main focus for the study and the study is not powered to examine this, there is an indication that allowed consideration to be given as to whether supplementation showed the same changes in those who have a naturally high dietary intake of magnesium as to those who have a low dietary intake. In the control group for both the high and low habitual magnesium intake, resting systolic BP did not change at week 2 compared to baseline. However, a significant lowering was found in resting systolic BP at week 2 compared to baseline in the low magnesium intake group within the intervention group. This suggests that magnesium supplementation may have a greater effect on resting BP in subjects that have a low habitual dietary magnesium intake than those who have a naturally higher intake above the RNI.

Sanjuliani et al. (1996) found over 10 mmHg reduction in mean BP with 600 mg·d⁻¹ oral magnesium oxide supplement in hypertensive patients. They attributed this significant reduction to decreased intracellular sodium levels and increased magnesium levels in the blood.

Conversely, two studies found no evidence for the role of increased dietary magnesium intake in the reduction of high BP. One study was in women only (Doyle et al. 1999) and magnesium was increased through diet alone but was still below the US RDA.

Mean post exercise BP showed the opposite. The results showed mean post exercise systolic BP significantly decreased by 24.3 mmHg at week 2 when compared to baseline, in the high magnesium intake subgroup of the experimental group (20.2%, p = 0.017). This shows that magnesium supplementation has a bigger effect on post exercise BP in subjects that have a high magnesium intake. The mechanism for this is not explained in the literature. It may be due to the increased demands of magnesium for a physically active person during exercise as it is bound to ATP or that a higher plasma concentration may result in more magnesium being used as a relaxant of the smooth muscle cells in the vascular walls. This has implications in health. Patients suffering from hypertension could gain larger BP reductions from a combined dietary change and oral supplementation program.

Secondary to the main aim, this investigation set out to determine whether supplementation had an effect on aerobic and resistance performance. For the 30 minute cycling time trial the results showed a significant increase of 2.74 kilometers and 2.19 kilometers for mean cycling time trial distance in both control and experimental groups. However, there was no significance found between groups. A review by Newhouse and Finstad (2000) supports this. They reviewed 33 years of research and concluded that most evidence shows no enhancement of performance. However, a study by Cinar et al. (2007) found that magnesium supplementation did improve performance of subjects who were exercising for 90-120 minutes per day for 5 days a week, rather than 2 separate bouts of exercise 14 days apart. The suggested mechanism for the increase is that magnesium increases the red blood cell count and hemoglobin levels, allowing greater oxygen distribution.

For the isometric bench presses the results showed the average strength increased in the control group by 11.4% and decreased by 18.0% in the experimental group, showing a 29.4% difference between the groups at week 2, although baseline and week 2 data were higher in the experimental group compared to control group throughout. None of the data were significant at either baseline or week 2 although a non-significant difference could be seen partly due to the fact that the experimental group had a higher baseline value than the control group. Much of the literature shows the contrary (Brilla and Haley, 1992; Domiguez et al., 2006). Reasons for this may be due to higher dosages of supplements (8 mg·kg⁻¹) over a longer period of supplementation (7 weeks) and untrained individuals rather than active subjects being used. For resistance exercise and isometric contractions magnesium supplementation has shown to produce mixed results on performance. A study by Brilla and Haley (1992) found a 20% strength increase of peak knee extension torque which they attributed to magnesium’s role at ribosomal level. However, a review of
supplemental effects by Clarkson (1991) identified no relationship between magnesium supplementation and performance at any dosage. Magnesium also activates amino acids and aids the attachment of mRNA to the ribosomes in protein biosynthesis (Groff and Gropper, 2000). This is important in increasing strength and protein synthesis during resistance exercise and recovery. Magnesium has been shown to enhance insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) which may elevate testosterone (Domínguez et al., 1998). Both being anabolic hormones, supplementation of magnesium may increase strength when given alongside an exercise training program.

Limitations to this pilot study included the short supplementation period of only 2 weeks and also small sample size. Although the cohort were matched for weekly physical activity levels a more robust test of sample size. Although the cohort were matched for supplementation period of only 2 weeks and also small supplementation of magnesium may increase strength when given alongside an exercise training program.

**Conclusion**

In this pilot study, oral magnesium supplementation significantly reduces resting and post exercise BP. Furthermore, in those with a low background dietary magnesium intake there was a greater effect of supplementation on resting BP than those with a high dietary magnesium intake. Conversely, post exercise BP was reduced more in those with a high dietary magnesium intake. This pilot suggests that the effect of magnesium supplementation is relevant mainly in a health context rather than performance as no enhancements in athletic performance were found. Further research could investigate the effects of higher and longer dosages of magnesium supplementation on both aerobic and resistance exercise performance. An analysis of dietary intake looking at habitual magnesium intake in those showing resting hypertension would also aid in the understanding of magnesium and blood pressure
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Key points

- Magnesium supplementation will have an effect on resting and recovery systolic blood pressure with aerobic exercise.
- Magnesium supplementation will have an effect on resting and recovery systolic blood pressure with resistance exercise.
- Magnesium supplementation did not have an effect on performance indicators.
- A low habitual dietary magnesium intake will negatively affect blood pressure.
- A high habitual dietary magnesium intake will impact on the effect of magnesium supplementation.