Body Weight Independently Affects Articular Cartilage Catabolism
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Abstract

Although obesity is associated with osteoarthritis, it is unclear whether body weight (BW) independently affects articular cartilage catabolism (i.e., independent from physiological factors that also accompany obesity). The primary purpose of this study was to evaluate the independent effect of BW on articular cartilage catabolism associated with walking. A secondary purpose was to determine how decreased BW influenced cardiovascular response due to walking. Twelve able-bodied subjects walked for 30 minutes on a lower-body positive pressure treadmill during three sessions: control (unadjusted BW), +40%BW, and -40%BW. Serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) was measured immediately before (baseline) and after, and 15 and 30 minutes after the walk. Heart rate (HR) and rate of perceived exertion (RPE) were measured every three minutes during the walk. Relative to baseline, average serum COMP concentration was 13% and 5% greater immediately after and 15 minutes after the walk. Immediately after the walk, serum COMP concentration was 14% greater for the +40%BW session than for the -40%BW session. HR and RPE were greater for the +40%BW session than for the other two sessions, but did not differ between the control and -40%BW sessions. BW independently influences acute articular cartilage catabolism and cardiovascular response due to walking: as BW increases, so does acute articular cartilage catabolism and cardiovascular response. These results indicate that lower-body positive pressure walking may benefit certain individuals by reducing acute articular cartilage catabolism, due to walking, while maintaining cardiovascular response.
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Introduction

Thirty six percent of adult Americans are obese, and 69% are overweight (Flegal et al., 2012). Obesity is associated with knee osteoarthritis (OA) onset and progression (Felson et al., 2000; Griffin and Guilak, 2005; Hart and Spector, 1993). For each kilogram increase in body mass, knee OA genesis risk increases by 14% (Ciucci et al., 1996), and a 5-kg body mass gain increases knee OA genesis likelihood by 35% (Hart and Spector, 1993). Relative to healthy-weighted peers, obese individuals experience greater vertical ground reaction forces (GRF) and altered GRF characteristics (Nimbante and Li, 2011), which likely influences knee load and accelerates articular cartilage degradation (Creaby et al., 2013; Griffin and Guilak, 2005; Herzog et al., 2003; Whittle, 1999). Although obesity promotes knee OA due to mechanical and physiological factors (Felson et al., 2000), the role of each factor, independent from the other, is unclear. It is unclear how increased body mass, independent from physiological factors associated with obesity, influences articular cartilage catabolism. This knowledge is important to those who hope to solve the problem of knee OA, especially related to obesity.

Ambulation on a lower-body positive pressure treadmill is a relatively novel exercise mode that involves pressure differences between the lower- and upper-body (Hunter et al., 2014). The upward-directed pressure that is applied to the user reduces ambulatory GRF (Raffalt et al., 2013) and corresponding knee load (Patil et al., 2013). For this reason, these treadmills are being used in rehabilitative settings (Eastlack et al., 2005, Webber et al., 2014) by individuals with muscle and/or joint dysfunction (Kurz et al., 2011; Rose et al., 2013; Takacs et al., 2013). Although it has been established that low-impact aerobic exercise can help individuals decrease body mass and corresponding knee load (Hunter and Eckstein, 2009; Messier et al., 2005), low-impact exercise may elicit decreased cardiovascular response (Denning et al., 2010, Grabowski, 2010, Webber et al., 2014). Other researchers, however, have indicted no difference in cardiovascular measures during unloaded ambulation (Ruckstuhl et al., 2010; Thomas et al., 2007). The simultaneous effect of lower-body positive pressure on articular cartilage catabolism and cardiovascular response associated with walking has not yet been studied. It is important to determine whether walking with lower-body positive pressure can decrease articular cartilage catabolism, while simultaneously maintaining the cardiovascular benefit that is traditionally associated with walking.

Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) is a noncollagenous extracellular matrix protein (Hedbom et al., 1992) that interacts with collagen and other matrix components to increase the structural integrity (Halász et al., 2007) and load bearing ability of articular cartilage (Tseng et al., 2009). In able-bodied individuals, serum COMP concentration acutely increases after walking and running (Kersting et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2009; Mündermann et al., 2005; Niehoff et al., 2010). This increase is thought to represent articular cartilage catabolism due to exercise-induced load (Erhart-Hledik et al., 2012, Niehoff et al., 2011). Also, increased resting serum COMP concentration indicates chronic articular cartilage degradation (Neidhart et al., 1997; Verma and Dalal, 2013), and is associated with OA onset and progression (Chaganti et al., 2008, Sharif et al., 1995). Resting serum...
COMP concentration decreases after massive weight loss (Richette et al., 2011).

The primary purpose of this study was to evaluate the acute and independent effect of body weight (BW) on articular cartilage catabolism associated with walking. A secondary purpose was to evaluate how walking on a lower-body positive pressure treadmill simultaneously affects articular cartilage catabolism and cardiovascular response. We hypothesized that walking with increased BW would acutely and independently increase articular cartilage catabolism. We also hypothesized that walking with lower-body positive pressure, in order to potentially reduce articular cartilage catabolism, would reduce cardiovascular response due to walking.

Methods

Subjects

In this cross-over designed study, a convenience sample of 12 able-bodied volunteers was used (Table 1). This sample size was chosen because previous researchers have found statistically significant differences using methods that were quite similar to the present methods, including the same dependent variables and similar sample sizes (Denning et al., 2014; Mündermann et al., 2005; Neidhart et al., 2000; Niehoff et al., 2011). Subjects were required to have no history of (1) lower-extremity injury six months prior to data collection, or (2) knee-related surgery in their lifetime. Subjects refrained from moderate exercise in their lifetime. Subjects refrained from moderate exercise in their lifetime. Subjects then completed one of the three 30-minute walks. Blood samples were then collected immediately, 15 minutes, and 30 minutes after the completion of the 30-minute walk. These specific time points were selected because serum COMP concentration is known to return to baseline levels within 30 minutes following a 30-minute walking exercise (Mündermann et al., 2005). All blood samples were collected with the subject in the same body position, sitting in a chair, to minimize potential influence of plasma volume shift on serum COMP concentration.

Procedures

Subjects completed three data collection sessions in a counterbalanced order: control (unadjusted BW), +40%BW (40% greater than unadjusted BW), and -40% BW (40% less than unadjusted BW). The sessions were completed 48 hours apart at the same time of day. For each session, subjects walked at the same self-selected speed for 30 minutes on a lower-body positive pressure treadmill (AlterG, Fremont, CA, USA). The self-selected walking speed was determined on the first day of data collection, 45 minutes before the start of the session: treadmill speed was increased, or decreased, until the subject described the speed to be the same speed that they would use to walk across a parking lot. For each session, subjects wore neoprene shorts that zipped into a lower-body positive pressure chamber that enclosed the subject from the waist down (Figure 1). For the control session, subjects walked with no BW manipulation. For the +40%BW session, subjects wore a weighted exercise vest (ZFO Sports, San Jose, CA, USA) that weighed 40% of their BW. For the -40%BW session, subjects walked while being unloaded 40% of BW, via the lower-body positive pressure chamber. For all sessions, subjects wore a HR monitor directly below the xiphoid process. HR and rate of perceived exertion (RPE; Borg 6-20 scale) were measured every three minutes, throughout each 30-minute walk, for each session, to quantify cardiovascular response.

Before each walk, subjects rested on a chair for 30 minutes to minimize potential influence of preceding activity on serum COMP concentration (Mündermann et al., 2005). Next, the baseline blood sample was collected. Subjects then completed one of the three 30-minute walks. Blood samples were then collected immediately, 15 minutes, and 30 minutes after the completion of the 30-minute walk. These specific time points were selected because serum COMP concentration is known to return to baseline levels within 30 minutes following a 30-minute walking exercise (Mündermann et al., 2005). All blood samples were collected with the subject in the same body position, sitting in a chair, to minimize potential influence of plasma volume shift on serum COMP concentration.
13.5% and 2.8%, respectively, for a 146.8 ± 19.8 ng·ml⁻¹ sample. Potential differences, due to inter-plate variation, were eliminated by comparing serum COMP concentration within subjects and testing all samples from each subject on the same plate.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical computations were performed using JMP Pro 10 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The independent variables were session (control, +40%BW, and -40%BW), draw (baseline, and immediately, 15, and 30 minutes after the walk for serum COMP) and time (every 3 minutes during the walk for HR and RPE). The dependent variables were serum COMP concentration, HR, and RPE. A mixed model repeated measures analysis of covariance (p < 0.05) was used to evaluate the potential effects of session and draw on serum COMP concentration. Because baseline COMP concentration differed no difference between HR nor RPE values at any point in time on HR and RPE. If a session × draw or session × time interaction existed, Tukey’s post hoc tests were performed to further evaluate for significant differences (p < 0.05).

**Results**

The 30-minute walk caused a significant increase in serum COMP concentration for the control and +40%BW sessions: relative to baseline, serum COMP concentration was 10% (p = 0.03) and 22% (p < 0.01) greater immediately after the 30-minute walk for the control and +40%BW sessions, respectively (Table 2). When values for each session were averaged, serum COMP concentration was 13% (p < 0.001) and 5% (p = 0.04) greater immediately after the walk and 15 minutes after the walk, respectively, relative to baseline (Table 2). Serum COMP concentration returned to baseline levels 30 minutes after the completion of the walk for all sessions (Table 2). When comparing between sessions, immediately after the walk, serum COMP concentration was 14% (p = 0.01) greater for the +40%BW session than for the -40%BW session. Lastly, when values for each draw were averaged, serum COMP concentration was 8% (p = 0.03) greater for the +40%BW session, relative to the -40%BW session (Table 2).

Session and time both influenced HR (p < 0.01; Figure 2A) and RPE (p < 0.01; Figure 2B). For most of the walk, HR was significantly greater for the +40%BW session than for the -40%BW session (p < 0.05; Figure 2A). Similarly, for most of the walk, RPE was greater for the +40%BW session than for the control and -40%BW sessions (p < 0.05; Figure 2B). Mean HR for the +40%BW session (109 beats/min; 95% confidence intervals (CI): 103, 115) was 8.9% and 14.8% greater than for the control (99 beats/min; 95% CI: 93, 105; p < 0.01) and -40%BW sessions (93 beats/min; 95% CI: 87, 99; p < 0.01). Similarly, mean RPE for the +40%BW session (13.6; 95% CI: 12.6, 14.5) was 38% and 44% greater than for the control (8.5; 95% CI: 7.6, 9.5; p < 0.01) and -40%BW sessions (7.6; 95% CI: 6.7, 8.6; p < 0.01). There was no difference between HR nor RPE values at any point in time between the control and -40%BW sessions (p > 0.05).

**Discussion**

The primary purpose of this study was to evaluate the independent effect of BW on acute articular cartilage catabolism. We hypothesized that walking with increased BW would acutely increase articular cartilage catabolism. The findings supported this hypothesis. Acute articular cartilage catabolism was greatest after subjects walked with increased BW (+40%BW session) and least after subjects walked with decreased BW (-40%BW session; Table 2). The results, however, partially contradicted our second hypothesis and showed that walking with reduced BW (from unadjusted BW to -40%BW) does not significantly decrease HR and RPE (Figure 2). Reducing BW from +40%BW to unadjusted BW did, however, decrease HR and RPE (Figure 2). As we initially hypothesized, BW, articular cartilage catabolism due to walking, and cardiovascular response due to walking appear to be related: as BW increases, acute articular cartilage catabolism and cardiovascular response associated with walking also increase.

This is the first study that has used BW, independently, to manipulate articular cartilage catabolism due to physical activity. Presently, serum COMP concentration increased nearly 14% due to a 30-minute walk and

| Table 2. Mean (95% CI) serum COMP concentration (ng·ml⁻¹) for all sessions and draws. |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **SESSION**                  | **Baseline**                 | **Immediately After**         | **15 Minutes After**          | **30 Minutes After**          | **Session Mean**              |
|                              | 106.5                        | 114.5††                      | 108.0                        | 105.6                        | 108.7††                      |
|                              | (100.3 – 111.7)              | (108.3 – 120.7)              | (101.8 – 114.2)              | (99.3 – 111.8)               | (103.6 – 113.7)              |
| Control                      | 107.1                        | 117.9*                       | 109.4                        | 106.8                        | 110.3                        |
|                              | (100.9 – 113.4)              | (111.7 – 124.2)              | (103.2 – 115.6)              | (100.5 – 113.0)              | (105.2 – 115.4)              |
| +40%BW                       | 106.5                        | 130.4*††                     | 119.1*                       | 112.4                        | 117.1*                       |
|                              | (100.3 – 112.7)              | (124.2 – 136.6)              | (112.8 – 125.3)              | (106.2 – 118.6)              | (112.0 – 122.1)              |
| Draw Mean                    | 106.7                        | 121.0*                       | 112.2*                       | 108.2                        |                              |
|                              | (102.3 – 111.1)              | (116.5 – 125.4)              | (107.8 – 116.6)              | (103.8 – 112.6)              |                              |

* indicate significant increase relative to baseline (p < 0.05). † indicate significant between-session differences: COMP was greater for the +40%BW session, relative to the -40%BW session immediately after the 30-minute walk and when data were pooled across draws (p < 0.05).
The asterisks indicate that values for the +40%BW session were significantly greater than for the BW and -40%BW sessions (p < 0.05). The cross symbols indicate significant differences between +40%BW and -40%BW sessions (p < 0.05).

BW independently increased cardiovascular response due to walking: reducing BW from +40%BW to unadjusted BW resulted in a decrease for HR and RPE (Figure 2). HR and RPE, however, did not differ between the control and -40%BW sessions, showing that cardiovascular response during walking might be able to be maintained by limiting the decrease of BW (i.e., not decreasing BW too much). The present results regarding HR and RPE corroborate researchers who reported that neither HR nor RPE decreases as a result of unloaded (near -40% of BW) walking at a comfortable speed, relative to walking with unadjusted BW (Colby et al., 1999; Ruckstuhl et al., 2010; Thomas et al., 2007). Differences in HR and RPE have been reported for unloaded walking involving greater than preferred walking speeds and unloaded walking involving percentages greater than -40% BW (Ruckstuhl et al., 2010; Thomas et al., 2007). Contradicting all results mentioned thus far in this paragraph, however, Grabowski reported decreased cardiovascular response during unloaded walking of -25% BW (Grabowski, 2010). The reason for this discrepancy is unclear, however, Grabowski indicated that cardiovascular response at this unloading percentage could be increased by increasing walking speed (Grabowski, 2010). Collectively, present and previous data generally indicate that cardiovascular response to unloaded walking depends on the unloaded percentage and walking speed.

The present results might have implications regarding articular cartilage response, to walking, for obese patients who are simultaneously concerned with cartilage loss and cardiovascular response. Low-impact aerobic exercise that can reduce GRF (Barela and Duarte, 2008) and maintain cardiovascular response (Denning et al., 2010) likely benefits obese OA patients (Hunter and Eckstein, 2009). Using lower-body positive pressure to unload 50% of BW during walking reduces peak axial knee forces by more than 40% (Patil et al., 2013); this fact fits with the lack of change, between draws, for serum COMP concentration during the -40%BW walk (Table 2). Further, our data showed that cardiovascular response did not differ between the BW and -40%BW sessions. Our serum COMP and cardiovascular results collectively
indicate that the use of a lower-body positive pressure treadmill may reduce articular cartilage catabolism that results from walking, while maintaining cardiovascular response. Importantly, however, generalizations of the present data to an obese and/or OA sample should be made cautiously: the present design only allows for inferences toward young able-bodied male and females of healthy weight.

This study has limitations. Although COMP is predominantly expressed in articular cartilage, it is also found in ligaments, tendons, menisci, and dermal and synovial fibroblasts (Dodge et al., 1998; Muller et al., 1998). Consequently, it is unclear which anatomical structure(s) contributed to the observed increase of serum COMP concentration. Researchers have hypothesized that increased serum COMP concentration, following physical activity, is at least partially due to knee load (Kersting et al., 2005; Niehoff et al., 2011). Our serum COMP results should be interpreted as general articular cartilage catabolism, not articular cartilage catabolism of a particular joint. Lastly, a larger sample might have elicited statistical differences where statistically insignificant trends now exist. For example, a post-hoc power analysis, using 80% power, revealed that 24 subjects would have been needed to find a significant 7% difference in serum COMP between the control and +40% BW sessions.

Conclusion

There are two primary findings from this study. First, and most importantly, BW appears to independently and acutely affect articular cartilage catabolism that occurs due to walking. Walking with unadjusted BW and increased BW resulted in measureable articular cartilage catabolism (via serum COMP); however, walking with decreased BW did not. Second, walking with acutely increased BW increased cardiovascular response (HR and RPE), compared to walking with unadjusted BW; however, there was no difference between walking with unadjusted BW and decreased BW for HR or RPE. In combination, the presently observed markers of articular cartilage catabolism (serum COMP) and cardiovascular response (HR and RPE) indicated that the use of lower-body positive pressure during walking may potentially benefit individuals who wish to simultaneously minimize knee joint load and maintain cardiovascular response.
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-40% body weight. Did walking for 30 minutes with adjustments in body weight (normal body weight, +40% and -40% body weight) significantly influence articular cartilage catabolism, measured via serum COMP concentration. Compared to baseline levels, walking with +40% body weight and normal body weight both elicited significant increases in articular cartilage catabolism, while walking with -40% body weight did not. Cardiovascular response (HR and RPE) was not significantly different during walking with normal body weight and when compared to walking with -40% body weight.
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