Effects of Hypoxic Training versus Normoxic Training on Exercise Performance in Competitive Swimmers
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Abstract
In swimming competition, optimal swimming performance is characterized by a variety of interchangeable components, such as aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power and muscular function. Various hypoxic training methods would potentiate greater performance improvements compared to similar training at sea-level. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effects of six-weeks of hypoxic training on exercise performance in moderately trained competitive swimmers. Twenty swimmers were equally divided into a normoxic training group (n = 10) for residing and training at sea-level (PIO2 = 149.7 mmHg), and a hypoxic training group (n = 10) for residing at sea-level but training at 526 mmHg hypobaric hypoxic condition (PIO2 = 100.6 mmHg). Aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, muscular function, hormonal response and 50 and 400 m swimming performance were measured before and after training, which was composed of warm-up, continuous training, interval training, elastic resistance training, and cool-down. The training frequency was 120 min, 3 days per week for 6 weeks. Muscular function and hormonal response parameters showed significant interaction effects (all p < 0.032, η2 > 0.288) in muscular strength and endurance, growth hormone; GH, insulin like growth factor-1; IGF-1, and vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGF. The other variables demonstrated no significant interaction effects. However, a hypoxic training group also showed significantly increased maximal oxygen consumption; VO2max (p = 0.001), peak anaerobic power (p = 0.001), and swimming performances for 50 m (p = 0.000) and 400 m (p = 0.000). These results indicated that the hypoxic training method proposed in our study is effective for improvement of muscular strength and endurance in moderately trained competitive swimmers compared to control group. However, our hypoxic training method resulted in unclear changes in aerobic exercise capacity (VO2max), anaerobic power, and swimming performance of 50 m and 400 m compared to normoxic training.
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Introduction
Altitude/hypoxic training is a common and popular practice among various athletes, coaches, and sports scientists for improving exercise performance at the sea-level. The most typical altitude/hypoxic training methods proposed include living high-training high (LHTH) or living high-training low (LHTL). Recently, various living low training high (LLTH) methods such as intermittent hypoxic training (IHT), repeated sprint training in hypoxia (RSH), and resistance training in hypoxia (RTH) has become an increasingly popular altitude/hypoxic practice, where athletes live at or near sea-level but train at 2,000 to 4,500 m simulated hypobaric or normobaric hypoxic conditions (Faiss et al., 2013a; Girard et al., 2017; Hamlin et al., 2010).

Among the various LLTH altitude/hypoxic training methods, IHT may be of interest to athletes and coaches because this training method commonly involves shorter hypoxic exposure time and duration. Such hypoxic exposure typically last < 3 hours, two to five times per week, for 2 to 6 weeks (McLean et al., 2014). Theoretically, the stress from hypobaric or normobaric hypoxic exposure, in addition to the training stress, will compound the training adaptations experienced with normal aerobic training and will lead to greater improvements in aerobic exercise capacity (Czuba et al., 2011). IHT may trigger various biochemical and structural changes in skeletal and cardiac muscles that favor oxidative process; that is, IHT may enhance erythropoietic, metabolic, and hemodynamic functions, which results in the stimulation of serum erythropoietin synthesis, elevated red blood cell volume, improved exercise economy, increased blood flow, and thus in enhanced oxygen transporting and utilizing capacity of the blood (Czuba et al., 2011; Geiser et al., 2001; Hamlin et al., 2010). However, the efficiency of IHT for the enhancement of aerobic exercise capacity in various athletes at sea-level is controversial. Several studies reported improved aerobic exercise capacity by enhanced oxygen transporting or utilizing capacity of the blood after IHT (Czuba et al., 2011; Ponson et al., 2006), although numerous studies did not support the improvement effect on aerobic exercise performance after IHT (Beidleman et al., 2009; Katayama et al., 2004; Rodriguez et al., 2007; Roels et al., 2007).

Moreover, some researchers reported that there are no additional benefits of IHT on anaerobic performance when compared to similar normoxic training (Faiss et al., 2013a; Millet et al., 2014). To overcome some of inherent limitations of IHT (lower training stimulus due to hypoxia), RSH is being utilized, and this method improved the anaerobic power of athletes with significant molecular adaptations and larger blood perfusion variations in active muscles, increased lactate tolerance and muscle buffering capacity, increased glycolytic enzyme activity (Faiss et al., 2013b; Galvin et al., 2013; Hamlin et al., 2017).

Recently, some researchers have attempted to identify the effect of RTH on muscular function (strength and endurance) via greater accumulation of metabolites (e.g., blood lactate level, inorganic phosphate, and hydro-
gen ion), greater hormonal response (e.g., growth hormone; GH, insulin-like growth factor 1; IGF-1, vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGF, epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol, and testosterone), neuromuscular adaptation and muscle hypertrophy (Kon et al., 2010; 2012; Manimmonakorn et al., 2013; Nishimura et al., 2010; Scott et al., 2014). Based on previous studies, the effect of RTH on the improvement of muscular strength and endurance has been reported to be caused by the accumulation of metabolites (blood lactate level), augmented hormone response (GH, IGF-1, VEGF, epinephrine, norepinephrine, and testosterone), and muscle hypertrophy (Kon et al., 2010; 2012; Nishimura et al., 2010; Scott et al., 2014). However, the mechanism for the improvement of muscular function by RTH currently is unclear, and there is a point of debate among scientists.

Unlike the positive results of IHT, RSH, and RTH described above, the current several studies reported that no additional benefits on exercise performance (aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, and muscular function) compared to the same training performed in normoxia (Friedmann et al., 2003; Kime et al., 2003; Puype et al., 2011; Faiss et al., 2013a; McLean et al., 2014; Park et al., 2013). These conflicting reports on the efficacy of hypoxic training for improving aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, and muscular function at sea-level may be due to methodological differences, including training type, volume, and intensity in the hypoxic conditions and the intensity and time of hypoxic stimulus (Czuba et al., 2011; Faiss et al., 2013a; McLean et al., 2014; Park et al., 2016). In other words, hypoxic training should be composed of appropriate training modality to maximize its effectiveness.

In addition, investigating the effectiveness of IHT, RSH, and RTH for normoxic exercise performance in competitive swimmers who participate in almost all events (e.g., sprint, middle distance, and long distance) that require aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, and pull and push muscular strength and endurance of the upper limbs would be valuable. Competitive swimmers perform a large volume with high-intensity practices to gain endurance, strength, and power (Hibberd et al. 2016).

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the effects of hypoxic training composed of warm-up, continuous training, interval training, elastic resistance training, and cool-down on the aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, muscular function, and swimming performance of 50 and 400 m in moderately trained competitive swimmers. Based on this study purpose, we hypothesis that 6 weeks of hypoxic training is sufficient to elicit an increase in 50 and 400m swimming performance with improvement of aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, and muscular function in moderately trained competitive swimmers.

### Methods

#### Participants

The participants were South Korean moderately trained competitive swimmer (n = 20) who were registered in the Korea Swimming Federation (Table 1). The participants received information about the study purpose and methods. They consented by signature after receiving sufficient explanation of the experiment and understanding the possible adverse effects prior to the start of the study. All the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation and the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

#### Study design

The study design is shown in Figure 1 and twenty of participants were equally divided for number (n = 10) and sex (5 men and 5 women) and assigned to one of the two method groups by VO₂max, 50 m and 400 m swimming performance, a normoxic training group for sea-level training (760 mmHg, P₁O₂ = 149.7 mmHg) and a hypoxic training group for training in a simulated 3,000 m (526 mmHg, P₁O₂ = 100.6 mmHg) hypobaric hypoxic condition. There was no significant difference in body composition, VO₂max, 50 m and 400 m swimming performance between groups (Table 1). All testing were performed in a 6.5 m (width) × 7.5 m (length) × 3 m (high) chamber with temperature (20 ± 2°C) and humidity (60 ± 2%) regulated by a thermo-hygrostat (Submersible Systems, Huntington Beach, CA).

The research was conducted as follows: 8 days of pre-test sessions (i.e., 4 testing days and 1 day of rest between testing days), 6 weeks of training sessions under each environmental conditions, and finally, 8 days of post-test sessions. The post-test began three days after the last training session.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Normoxic training group</th>
<th>Hypoxic training group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before training</td>
<td>After training</td>
<td>Before training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant (N)</td>
<td>10 (5 male, 5 female)</td>
<td>10 (5 male, 5 female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training condition (mmHg)</td>
<td>760 (sea-level)</td>
<td>526 (simulated 3000 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22.9 (3.9)</td>
<td>22.5 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.10)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>124.6 (12.3)</td>
<td>121.8 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>70.1 (2.6)</td>
<td>72.8 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.2 (12.9)</td>
<td>68.6 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>20.7 (4.0)</td>
<td>20.5 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂max (mL/kg/min)</td>
<td>58.1 (8.6)</td>
<td>60.5 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 m swimming performance (seconds)</td>
<td>29.2 (3.1)</td>
<td>28.8 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 m swimming performance (seconds)</td>
<td>285.9 (24.4)</td>
<td>283.0 (26.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, VO₂max: maximal oxygen consumption
On the first test day, blood samples were collected between 8:00 and 10:00 am after a day of fasting for analysis of blood variables related to muscular function at sea-level. Then, after the athletes were allowed to eat and relax during 4 hours, anaerobic power and muscular function were measured at normoxic condition and had an interval of two hours between measurements. On the second test day, maximal oxygen consumption (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) and maximal heart rate (HR$_{\text{max}}$) were measured using a graded exercise testing protocol on a treadmill for evaluation of aerobic exercise capacity at normoxic condition. On the third test day, all participants measured the exercise load (watt) corresponding to 90% HR$_{\text{max}}$ at each condition (control group: normoxic condition, IHT group: 526 mmHg hypoxic condition) using a measured bicycle load with 90% HR$_{\text{max}}$ during maximal bicycle exercise at each environmental condition on the third pre-test day was set as the exercise intensity during interval training session. All participants performed elastic resistance training sessions consisted of push up, bent over row, shoulder stretch, front raise, and bent over triceps kickback. The measured bicycle load with 90% HR$_{\text{max}}$ during maximal bicycle exercise at each environmental condition on the third pre-test day was set as the exercise intensity during interval training session. All participants performed elastic resistance training sessions consisted of push up, bent over row, shoulder stretch, front raise, and bent over triceps kickback. The participants performed 3 sets of 6-8 repetitions at an exercise intensity ranging from 8 to 9 on the OMNI-Resistance Exercise Scale of Perceived Exertion (OMNI-RES AM; from 0, extremely easy to 10, extremely hard), which has been reported to correspond to exercise intensity levels ranging from 80% to 90% of 1 RM, with rest for 60 s per set (Colado et al., 2012). Elastic resistance training sessions were conducted for approximately 30 min.

Also, all swimmers performed equally additional normoxic training session composed of swimming training session; warm-up, drills, main set, and swim down and resistance training session; bench press, shoulder press, dumbbell curl, lat pull down, bent over rowing, bent over back, push up, front push, front raise, and bent over triceps kickback at sea-level. The swimming training session was performed for 2 to 3 hours and the resistance training was performed for 1 hour. The frequency of additional level training was 2 days per week for 6 weeks. All participants were rested on the weekend without any exercise.

**Measurements**

In our study, the aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, muscular function, hormonal response, and swimming performance of 50 m and 400m were measured to examine changes in the exercise performance of moderately trained competitive swimmers by 6 weeks of training in each environmental condition.
For measurement of aerobic exercise capacity, VO\textsubscript{2max} was measured before and after training using the Bruce protocol for graded exercise testing on a treadmill (Percor 932i, USA), with a Vmax-229 breath-by-breath auto metabolism analyzer (SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA), a breathing valve in the facemask form, and an automatic system installed on authorized indoor swimming pool (50 m) at sea-level in Seoul and the median time was used.

### Statistical analyses
Means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated for each primary dependent variable. Normality of distribution of all outcome variables was verified using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A two-way analysis (“group” \times “time”) of variance with repeated measures on “time” factor was used to analyzing the effects of training programs on each dependent variable. Partial eta-squared ($\eta^2$) was calculated as measures of effect size. If a significant effect was found, a Bonferroni method post-hoc test was used to identify within-group change over time. Additionally, paired t-test was used to compare post-training vs pre-training values of dependent variables in each group separately. Finally, Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to analyze the correlation between the muscular function and the hormonal response.

All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A priori, the level of significance was set at 0.05.

### Results

#### Aerobic exercise capacity
Before and after training data for aerobic exercise capacity in both groups are shown in Table 3. No significant interaction effect was observed in VO\textsubscript{2max}, but, significant main effects within time were observed ($p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.598$). Post-hoc analyses found that swimmers in the IHT group significantly increased VO\textsubscript{2max} in both testing condition ($p = 0.001$).

#### Anaerobic power
As shown in Table 3, there was no significant interaction effect in peak and mean anaerobic power, but significant main effects within time were observed in peak anaerobic power ($p = 0.008$, $\eta^2 = 0.402$). Post-hoc analyses found that swimmers in the IHT group significantly increased peak anaerobic power via 6 weeks of training ($p = 0.001$).

#### Muscular function and hormonal response
Table 3 depicts pre- and post-training data for muscular strength, muscular endurance, and hormonal response related to muscular function in both training groups. The repeated two-way ANOVA analyses revealed significant interaction effect (all $p < 0.032$, $\eta^2 > 0.288$) in all muscular function parameters. Post-hoc analyses found that control group revealed significant increase in bench press

### Table 2. Swimming exercise for additional normoxic training in all participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>Exercise program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warm-up</td>
<td>400 m slow and easy, working on your water feel. Alternating Freestyle and Backstroke, 50 m each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drills</td>
<td>4 × 50 Freestyle only leg kick without kickboard breathing frontally, 30 sec rest in between. 4 × 50 breaststroke only leg kick without kickboard breathing frontally, 30 sec rest in between.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main set</td>
<td>1250 m Pyramid: 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 200, 150, 100, 50 All Freestyle, medium steady pace, 5 - 8 slow deep breath rest in between, You can take a longer (3 min) break and have a drink after reaching 250 top level 200 m Backstroke swim down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swim down</td>
<td>350 m kickboard, 200 deep Freestyle leg kick, 150 long deep breaststroke kick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.6 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hormonal response related to muscular function was analyzed by the Green Cross Medical Foundation (Certified organization in The Korea Society for Laboratory Medicine). The concentrations of the following blood variables were quantified: GH, IGF-1, and VEGF. A 3-mL sample of venous blood was collected into SST (serum separating tube) for serum. Clot formation was ensured in the SST by centrifuging the sample at 3,500 rpm for 10 min. GH, IGF-1, and VEGF levels were measured by using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method with GH, IGF-1, and VEGF Quantikine ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).
1 RM (p = 0.033), bench press exercise volume (p < 0.001), lat pull down exercise volume (p < 0.001), GH (p < 0.001), and IGF-1 (p < 0.001), also, IHT group showed significant increase in bench-press 1 RM (p = 0.001), lat pull down 1 RM (p = 0.001), bench-press exercise volume (p < 0.001), GH (p < 0.001), IGF-1 (p < 0.001), VEGF (p = 0.023) in both testing condition. Also, there was no correlation between the muscular function and the hormonal response (data not shown).

**Table 3. Before- and after-training data for all outcome measures with main analyses of variance results. Data are means (±SD).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Normoxic training group</th>
<th>Hypoxic training group</th>
<th>ANOVA p (η²) values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VO₂max (mL/kg/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.1 (8.6)</td>
<td>60.5 (7.2)</td>
<td>.048*</td>
<td>54.6 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anaerobic power variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Normoxic training group</th>
<th>Hypoxic training group</th>
<th>ANOVA p (η²) values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative peak anaerobic power (watt/kg)</td>
<td>10.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>10.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative mean anaerobic power (watt/kg)</td>
<td>8.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>8.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>.809</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Swimming performance variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Normoxic training group</th>
<th>Hypoxic training group</th>
<th>ANOVA p (η²) values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283.0 (26.2)</td>
<td>288.3 (24.4)</td>
<td>.307</td>
<td>284.9 (26.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Our study investigated the effects of hypoxic training composed of warm-up, continuous training, interval training, elastic resistance training, and cool-down on the aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, muscular function, hormonal response, and swimming performance of 50 and 400 m in moderately trained competitive swimmers. The main finding of our study is that hypoxic training group had greater improvement in muscular function and hormonal response; higher muscular strength and endurance, GH, IGF-1, and VEGF in moderately trained competitive swimmers compared to normoxic training group. However, although the results of the correlation analysis are not presented, there was no significant correlation between muscular strength or endurance and circulating hormones such as GH, IGF-1, and VEGF. Also, there was no significant interaction effect on VO₂max, peak anaerobic power, 50 m and 400 m swimming performance between hypoxic training group and normoxic training group.

Most commonly, efficiency of the oxygen transport system is most often evaluated by VO₂max (Czuba et al., 2011). Theoretically, LLTH method altitude/hypoxic training (e.g. IHT and RSH) may increase aerobic exercise capacity and endurance performance at sea-level by several biochemical and structural adaptive changes (Faiss et al., 2013a; Geiser et al., 2001; Hamlin et al., 2010). However, research findings on IHT as an effective protocol for enhancing aerobic exercise capacity and sports performance at normoxic condition are inconclusive. Czuba et al. (2011) evaluated the efficacy of IHT with 95% of lactate threshold workload on aerobic capacity and endurance performance in well-trained cyclists. As results, they reported a significant increase in aerobic exercise capacity (e.g. VO₂max, VO₂LT, WRmax and WR₇₁₇) after three weeks of intermittent hypoxic training (IHT) with 95% of lactate threshold intensity. Dufour et al. (2006) reported that a significant improvement in VO₂max by 5%, after 6 weeks of IHT, without changes in blood O₂-carrying capacity and significant modifications in VO₂ kinetics. Roels et al. (2005) also observed a significant improvement of VO₂max after high intense interval training sessions in hypoxic condition (P O₂ of 100 mm Hg) compared to normoxic condition (P O₂ of 160 mm Hg). However, Vallier et al. (1996) reported no significant increase in VO₂max in five elite triathletes by 3 weeks of IHT. During 3 weeks, the subjects modified their usual training schedule (approximately 30 h a week), replacing three sessions of bicycling exercise by three
sessions on a cycle ergometer in a hypobaric chamber simulating an altitude of 4,000 m (462 mmHg). Hendriksen and Meeuwsen (2003) conducted an experiment in which they evaluated the effect of intermittent training in hypobaric hypoxia condition on physical exercise at sea-level. Over a 10 days’ period, 16 male triathletes trained for 2 h each day on a cycle ergometer placed in a hypobaric chamber. Training intensity was at 60%–70% of the heart rate reserve. There were 8 subjects who trained at a simulated altitude of 2,500 m, the other 8 trained at sea level. A year later, a cross-over study took place. As results, the VO2max did not increase significantly. Our present results do not show additional benefit on VO2max by hypoxic training. In this regard, McLean et al. (2014) suggested that greater aerobic exercise capacity (e.g. VO2max) with IHT might be more likely if the following fulfilled: (1) high-intensity intervals are performed during hypoxic training and (2) additional normoxic training with sufficient intensity and volume. In our study, sufficient intensity and volume of additional normoxic training (swimming and resistance exercise) were performed with hypoxic training, but no positive effect was observed. These results are probably due to lack of stimulation of interval training on a bicycle performed in our study. In other words, we consider that interval training or RSH with higher intensity and shorter duration (<30 s) should be performed (Faiss et al., 2013a; Faiss et al., 2013b).

Historically, altitude/hypoxic training was shown to improve aerobic exercise capacity. More recently, however, interest has grown in the effects of altitude/hypoxic training on anaerobic power (Galvin et al., 2013; Hamlin et al., 2010). As commonly known, enhancement of the anaerobic energy supply system requires high-intensity repeated or interval training regimens at hypoxic condition (Bonetti et al., 2006; Brocherie et al., 2017). Some authors suggest that high intensity of IHT or RSH may also improve anaerobic exercise performance (Bonetti et al., 2006; Brocherie et al., 2017; Faiss et al., 2013a; Hendriksen and Meeuwsen, 2003), possibly via increases in muscle buffering capacity and glycolytic enzyme activity (Faiss et al., 2013b; Galvin et al., 2013; Hamlin et al., 2017). However, anaerobic exercise capacity or power improvements are not likely to occur when the duration, time, and intensity of repeated or interval training sessions under altitude/hypoxic conditions are insufficient (Hendriksen and Meeuwsen, 2003; Ponsot et al., 2006). Brocherie et al. (2017) performed systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of RSH versus repeated-sprint training in normoxia (RSN) on key components of sea-level physical performance and reported that high intensity of RSH induces greater improvement for mean repeated-sprint performance during sea-level repeated sprinting than RSN. The additional benefit observed for best repeated-sprint performance and VO2max for RSH versus RSN was not significantly different. Therefore, we hypothesized that in addition to hypoxic continuous training, a specific high intensity of interval training under altitude/hypoxic conditions or RSH would provide greater stimulus for improvement in anaerobic power. However, there was no significant interaction effect in peak and mean anaerobic power, and significant main effect within time was observed in peak anaerobic power; it was significantly increased only in hypoxic training group. In our study, the reason for not showing a remarkable improvement in anaerobic power is that the interval exercise intensity is relatively low as the exercise load (watt) with 90% HRmax measured before training. Like aerobic exercise capacity, we consider that anaerobic interval or repeated training with higher intensity and shorter duration (<30 s) will probably have a significant improvement in anaerobic power (Bonetti et al., 2006; Brocherie et al., 2017; Faiss et al., 2013a; Galvin et al., 2013).

Most recently, altitude/hypoxic training has been proposed to enhance some of the adaptations associated with resistance training. RTH is known to improve muscular strength, power production, and muscular endurance through a number of adaptations, including hypertrophy and various biochemical changes (Kon et al., 2014; Manimmanakorn et al., 2013; Nishimura et al., 2010). Kon et al. (2014) investigated how hypoxia affects resistance exercise-induced muscle adaptations. Their results suggest that, in addition to increases in muscle size and strength, RTH may also lead to increased muscular endurance and the promotion of angiogenesis in skeletal muscle. Manimmanakorn et al. (2013) has found that hypoxic training in conjunction with low-load resistant exercise improved the peak maximum voluntary contraction in 3 s and the number of repetitions able to be performed at 20% 1 RM. In addition, hypoxic training substantially increased muscle cross-sectional area compared to exercise training alone. Nishimura et al. (2010) reported resistance training (70% 1 RM, 4 sets of 10 repetitions, exercised twice weekly for 6 weeks) under hypoxic conditions improves muscle strength and induces muscle hypertrophy faster than under normoxic conditions. Based on this rationale, we applied elastic resistance training to strengthen the muscular function (strength and endurance) of moderately trained competitive swimmers, and the strengthening of the muscular function was expected to have a high correlation with the circulating hormonal response such as GH, IGF-1, and VEGF. As a result, hypoxic training group showed greater increases in muscular strength and endurance and greater hormonal response of GH, IGF-1, and VEGF, which has anabolic effects, when compared to normoxic training group. However, as mentioned above, there was no significant correlation between muscular strength or endurance and circulating hormones such as GH, IGF-1, and VEGF. A few previous studies reported no significant correlation between changes in anaerobic hormonal response (e.g. GH, IGF-1, and testosterone) after resistance training and muscular strength, and that anaerobic hormone response does not have an additive effect on muscular function (Lange et al., 2002; Mitchell et al., 2013). Lange et al. (2002) reported that our results do not support a role for GH as a means of increasing muscle strength or mass, either alone or combined with RT, in healthy elderly men; although GH administration alone may induce changes in MHC composition. Mitchell et al. (2013) investigated to determine relationships between post-exercise changes in
hormonal response factors (testosterone, GH, and IGF-1) in a moderately-sized cohort of young men exhibiting divergent resistance training-mediated muscle hypertrophy. As a result, they concluded post-exercise increases in circulating hormones are not related to hypertrophy following training. However, Kon et al. (2014) and Vogt et al. (2001) reported that RTH increased angiogenesis in skeletal muscle via the altitude/hypoxic resistance training-induced increase in VEGF level, and muscular endurance and VEGF levels have been reported to be highly correlated. These observations suggested that enhancement of muscular function by RTH is influenced by not only circulating hormonal response but also many other factors (e.g., neuromuscular function, acid-base equilibriu, and biochemical pathway). Also, it means that enhancement of muscular function is influenced by increases in skeletal muscle oxidative fiber types, activities of metabolic enzymes, improvement in muscle-buffering capacity, and capillarization (Kon et al., 2014). We believe that future research should elucidate the correlation between muscular function and other variables (e.g. concentration of metabolites, hormonal response, intramuscu lar signaling pathways), and the mechanism by which the improvement of the muscular function is manifested.

Generally, swimmers perform swimming approximately 5,000 to 6,500 m per practice and accumulate a large volume of distance training with high-intensity practices to gain aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, and muscular strength and power (Hibberd et al. 2016). In addition, swimmers participate in almost all events (e.g., sprint, middle distance, and long distance) that require aerobic exercise capacity, anaerobic power, pull and push muscular strength and endurance of the upper limbs. So, we applied additional normoxic training consisted of 2.6 km swimming exercise and resistance exercise and hypoxic training composed of warm-up, continuous training, interval training, elastic resistance training, and cool-down with proper methodology, including training type, volume, and intensity under altitude/hypoxic conditions for exercise performance in moderately trained competitive swimmers. However, there was no significant interaction effect in 50 m and 400 m swimming performance, and significant main effect within time was observed; it was significantly increased only in IHT group. Similar to our study results, many previous studies reported that hypoxic training has no additional benefits on exercise performance when compared to the same training performed in normoxia. The results of Roels et al. (2005) research are in accordance with those of Truijens et al. (2003), who found those 5 weeks of high-intensity training in a flume improved normoxic athletic performance in competitive swimmers, however, there was no effect of hypoxic training (15.3% O2). Hendriksen and Meeuwsen (2003) conducted a study in that they examined the effect of IHT. The participants trained for 10 days, 2 h daily on a cycle ergometer placed in a hypobaric chamber at a simulated altitude of 2,500 m. Training intensity was at 60–70% of the heart rate reserve. The results indicated no significant increase in normoxic exercise performance. These results are consistent with Hamlin et al. (2010). In this study, sixteen well-trained athletes completed 90 min of endurance training (60–70% of heart rate reserve), followed by two 30-s all-out sprints (Wingate test), daily, for 10 consecutive days. Nine subjects trained with an FIO2 set to produce arterial oxygen saturations of 88–82%, while seven subjects (placebo group) trained while breathing a normal gas mixture (FIO2=0.21). As a results, they reported that training in a hypoxic environment for 91 min/day for 10 consecutive days resulted in a clear 3.0% improvement in the mean 30 s power 2 days’ post-intervention, and beneficial but unclear changes in 30 s peak power, 20 km mean power and 20 km oxygen cost 2 and 9 days’ post-intervention. Based on these prior studies, we consider that higher intensity interval training or RSG with shorter duration should be applied to improve swimming performance by hypoxic training (Brocherie et al., 2017; Faiss et al., 2013a; McLean et al., 2014).

Conclusions

Our study demonstrates that hypoxic training composed of warm-up, continuous training, interval training, elastic resistance training, and cool-down with proper methodology had a positive effect on the muscular strength and endurance of moderately trained competitive swimmers. However, our hypoxic training method resulted in unclear changes in aerobic exercise capacity (VO2max), anaerobic power, and swimming performance of 50 m and 400m.
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Key points

- Hypoxic training composed or warm-up, continuous training, interval training, resistance training, elastic resistance training, and cool-down with proper methodology had a positive effect on the muscular strength, muscular endurance and hormonal response (GH, IGF-1, and VEGF) of moderately trained competitive swimmers.
- Our hypoxic training method resulted in unclear changes in aerobic exercise capacity (VO2max), anaerobic power, and swimming performance of 50 m and 400 m compared to normoxic training.
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