Bioelectrical Impedance Vector Analysis (BIVA) and Body Mass Changes in an Ultra-Endurance Triathlon Event
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Abstract
This study aimed to provide the first description of the whole-body bioimpedance vector of nine non-professional triathletes, and to assess body mass (BM) and vector variations evoked by an ultra-endurance triathlon event. Anthropometric and bioelectrical assessments were performed before (PRE), after (POST), and 48 hours following the race (POST48h). Bioimpedance vector analysis (BIVA) showed triathletes’ vectors placed to the left of the major axis and mostly outside the 50% tolerance ellipse of the reference population. Vector migration in POST indicated dehydration status from POST to POST48h was suggested by a reversed vector migration and increased BM (p = 0.0001). Compared to PRE, POST48h values reflected fluid retention by changes in BIVA, while BM was still lower (p = 0.0001). Racing time was positively related to basal resistance -R/h- (r = 0.68; p = 0.04) and bioimpedance -Z/h- (r = 0.68; p = 0.045). Besides, basal R/h and Z/h were positively related to PRE-to-POST changes of R/h and Z/h (r = 0.80; p = 0.009). PRE-to-POST changes of R/h and Z/h were positively related to racing time (r = 0.80, p = 0.01) and internal workload (r = 0.80, p ≤ 0.02). Notwithstanding the lack of significant correlation between BM and bioelectrical parameters, the vector’s behavior was explained from a multifactorial perspective (including BM variations) by using multiple regression analysis. On the other hand, BM changes were not related to racing time, internal workload or energy deficit (ranges: r = -0.46 to 0.65; p = 0.06 to 0.98). In conclusion, these triathletes exhibit a specific bioelectrical distribution. Furthermore, vector migration was consistent with fluid loss induced by the event. Finally, vector analysis seems to provide additional information about hydration changes 48h after the event in comparison with BM alone.
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Introduction
Ultra-endurance triathlon (UET) combines three disciplines (3.8-km swimming, 180-km cycling, 42.2-km running) and involves from 8 to 17 hours of competition depending on the fitness level and efficiency rates of the triathlete (Laursen and Rhodes, 2001). During a UET, dehydration and glycogen depletion are the main causes of metabolic fatigue, whereas gastrointestinal problems, hyperthermia and hyponatremia are potential threats to the triathletes’ health (Jeukendrup et al., 2005).

Dehydration decreases endurance performance (Cheuvront and Kenefick, 2014) and increases the injury risk (Oppliger and Bartok, 2002). Furthermore, it is an important factor in race completion in ultra-endurance events (Knechtle et al., 2015). However, the lack of a ‘gold-standard’ marker of hydration status must be emphasized. The assessment of body hydration status is a dynamic and complex process and no method is valid for all situations (Armstrong, 2007). Finding a method which is sensitive to the type (intra- or extra-cellular) and magnitude of dehydration is necessary (Cheuvront and Kenefick, 2017).

A common method to assess dehydration in endurance athletes has been pre- and post-exercise body mass (BM) control (McGarvey et al., 2010). Ultra-endurance athletes may suffer great BM losses (Hew-Butler et al., 2007; Laursen et al., 2006; Sharwood et al., 2004), principally due to the sweat rate (Cheuvront and Kenefick, 2017). Other possible sources are the respiratory and urinary/fecal water losses (Cheuvront and Kenefick, 2017).

Literature supports that reductions in total BM of ≥ 2% generate negative effects on the endurance performance of the athletes (Cheuvront and Kenefick, 2014; McDermott et al., 2017). However, well-trained ultra-triathletes should expect to lose about 3% of their BM without any adverse consequences (Laursen et al., 2006). Therefore, despite the fact that measuring BM variation provides a simple estimate of post-race hydration status in athletes (Maughan et al., 2007; McGarvey et al., 2010), it is not always a reliable measure. Furthermore, it may give rise to misleading results since, for instance, a significant loss of BM may be observed without an effective hypohydration resulting (Cheuvront and Kenefick, 2017; Maughan et al., 2007).

In this regard, the bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) emerges as a technique to assess hydration status with no inherent errors of bioimpedance equations or requirements for biological assumptions such as the constant tissue hydration (Luakski and Piccoli, 2012; Norman et al., 2012). The method is used in the clinical context for the analysis of both homeostatic state and possible vector variations resulting from modifications in body fluid status (Norman et al., 2012; Piccoli, 2010). In the exercise context, as mentioned in Heavens et al. (2016), directional changes in vector values from serial measurements are consistent with fluid loss according to the theory (Piccoli et al., 1994; Piccoli et al., 2002). Therefore, since any vector change is a function of extracellular water –ECW- changes (Segal et al., 1991) because a 50 kHz current does not penetrate cells (De Lorenzo et al., 1997), a potential strength of BIVA would be to afford insight into ECW dehydration.
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Sport Research of Catalonia, and was approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical performance following the Helsinki Declaration Statement written consent prior to their participation. The study was for at least three years. All participants passed a medical performance in UET and ultra-endurance events, and they had been participants had an average of 10 ± 3 years of experience for this type of events would be consistent with a decrease in body fluids, according to the literature.

Methods

Participants
An advertisement was placed on the triathlon race webpage to recruit non-professional male triathletes. The inclusion criteria were to train at least 10 h per week and the participation in a minimum of one UET during the past 3 years.

Sample size was calculated based on a potential increase of the impedance vector module (Z) of 4% based on our previous observations in synchronized swimmers after a high-intensity training session (Carrasco-Marginet et al., 2017) as the only available estimate for short-term (< 24 hours) bioelectrical changes (Castizo-Olier et al., 2018). Seven athletes per intervention group were required (two-tailed α = 0.05, β = 0.80, effect size = 0.95, change SD = 2.5).

Nine experienced, well-trained, non-professional ultra-endurance male triathletes volunteered for the study [mean ± SD: age 36.6 ± 5.5 years; body mass (BM) 76.0 ± 6.9 kg; height 1.75 ± 0.06 m; body mass index (BMI) 24.8 ± 2.0 kg/m²; \( \dot{V}O_2\text{max} = 66.3 \pm 4.3 \text{ ml/kg/min} \)]. The participants had an average of 10 ± 3 years of experience in UET and ultra-endurance events, and they had been training regularly for approximately 14–20 hours per week for at least three years. All participants passed a medical examination before the race and gave their informed consent prior to their participation. The study was performed following the Helsinki Declaration Statement and was approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Sport Research of Catalonia.

Study design

The participants completed a UET race, specifically the “Extreme Man Salou–Costa Daurada Triathlon”, composed of three segments consisting of a 3.8 km swim, 180 km cycle with a positive elevation over 2600 m and a 42.2 km marathon run. The mean (range) ambient temperature was 26 °C (13 - 30 °C), the water temperature was 21 °C (20.8 - 21.2 °C) and the relative humidity was 77% (64% - 94%). The mean wind speed was 1.3 m/s (range 0.3 – 5.0 m/s). All the triathletes undertook the tests measurements designed for the study at three time points: before the race (PRE), after finishing the race (POST), and 48h after POST measurements (POST48h). Detailed information about the study design, race characteristics and procedures related to the performance variables analyzed in the present study (racing time, internal workload and energy deficit) can be consulted in a previously published article (Barrero et al., 2014).

Procedures

Anthropometric and bioelectrical variables were obtained by the same trained investigator in a thermally neutral room (25.0 ± 1.0ºC).

Anthropometric assessment: Anthropometric measurements were performed according to the standard criteria of The International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) (Stewart et al., 2011). Body height (h) was assessed to the nearest 1 mm using a telescopic stadiometer (Seca 220®, Hamburg, Germany). BM was measured to the nearest 0.05 kg using a calibrated weighing scale (Seca 710®, Hamburg, Germany). BMI (kg/m²) was calculated as body mass / height². The circumferences of the left and right thigh –C_LT and C_RT, respectively- (taken at mid-thigh) and the left and right calf –C_LC and C_RC, respectively- (taken at the greater perimeter of the calf) were measured to the nearest 1 mm using an anthropometric tape (Lufkin Executive®, Lufkin, USA), in order to evaluate possible variations between the different time points. This is important since the whole-body impedance can be significantly reduced if a lower limb affected by swelling is in the same side as the electrodes (Codognotto et al., 2008).

Whole-Body Bioimpedance assessment: BIVA uses raw bioelectrical impedance parameters, i.e., resistance (“R”, the opposition to flow through intra- and extracellular ionic solutions) and reactance (“Xc”, additional opposition from the capacitance effect of cell membranes and tissue interfaces), standardized by height in order to remove the effect of conductor length, yielding a vector, which is plotted in an RXc graph (Piccoli et al., 1994). The vector direction (PA) is the geometric relationship between R and Xc. PA is a validated indicator of cellular health (Norman et al., 2012; Yanovski et al., 1996) and has been interpreted as an indicator of fluid distribution between intra- and extracellular compartments (Goovaerts et al., 1998), reporting an inverse correlation with the ECW - total body water (TBW) ratio (Chertow et al., 1995). The length of the vector states hydration status from fluid overload (short vector) to exsiccosis (longer vector), and lateral migration of the vector projects a decrease or increase in the dielectric mass (membranes and tissue interfaces) of soft tissues (Piccoli, 2005). Individual vectors can be normalized to Z scores and classified on the RXc score graph, according to the tolerance ellipses (50%, 75% and 95%) of a reference population, independently of the bioimpedance analyzer used (Piccoli et al., 2002). Individuals positioned within the 50% tolerance ellipses, according to the literature (Lužáki, 2013; Lužáki and Piccoli, 2012) are considered “normally hydrated”.

In the present study, R and Xc were measured by a previously calibrated multifrequency bioimpedance...
The training impulse (TRIMP) method was used. Workload of exercise performed by each participant in the race was calculated as energy intake minus energy expenditure. Finally, the energy deficit during the race was estimated through three different individualized equations (one for each segment), derived from preliminary exercise tests. The energy expenditure of each participant were collected in order to calculate the energy deficit assessment:

\[
\text{Energy deficit} = \text{Energy intake} - \text{Energy expenditure}
\]

Energy deficit assessment: All the wraps and bottles of each participant were collected in order to calculate the energy intake during the race. The energy expenditure during the race was estimated through three different individualized equations (one for each segment), derived from preliminary exercise tests. Finally, the energy deficit was calculated as energy intake minus energy expenditure.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics for each independent variable were calculated. After testing each variable for the normality of the distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test), differences in anthropometric (BM and circumferences of the thigh and calf), temperature and bioelectrical data (R, Xc, Z, R/h, Xc/h, Z/h and PA) PRE, POST and POST48h were analyzed through a repeated-measures one-way ANOVA (RM-ANOVA). Post-hoc analyses were performed using the Bonferroni correction and the p-value was adjusted at \( p_{\text{adj}} = 0.017 \). The magnitude of ratio changes was computed as delta percent values (Δ%). Effect sizes (ES) were calculated using Cohen’s d and defined as small, \( d \leq 0.2 \); medium, \( d \leq 0.5 \); and large, \( d \leq 0.8 \). Pearson’s correlation coefficient was applied to determine possible associations between: a) bioelectrical baseline values (PRE; POST; POST48h) vs. bioelectrical delta values (PRE-to-POST; POST-to-POST48h; and PRE-to-POST48h); b) bioelectrical data (baseline and delta values) vs. racing time, internal workload, energy deficit and BM delta values; c) BM delta values vs. racing time, internal workload, energy deficit. A multiple linear regression analysis was performed in order to explain the changes at the same time points of each bioelectrical (dependent) variable in relation to performance (independent) variables: racing time, internal workload, energy deficit, and BM changes. The adjusted square multiple regression coefficient \( (r_m^2_{\text{adj}}) \) was used to quantify the goodness-of-fit of the model. To add information to the multivariate analysis, the process was further applied in reverse, alternating the role between dependent and independent variables (i.e. the analysis was performed to explain the performance variables results in relation to the changes of each bioelectrical variable). Whole-body individual bioimpedance vectors were analyzed by the standard, reference RXc score graph (Piccoli et al., 2002), according to the healthy, Italian reference population (Piccoli et al., 1995). The RXc mean graph was performed to compare the whole-body mean vector of triathletes vs. the reference population. A two-sample Hotelling’s T² test was used to determine the vector differences between triathletes vs. the reference population. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

Results

The triathletes of the present study completed the race in 752 ± 70 min, the estimated internal workload was 1055 ± 172 arbitrary units, and the energy deficit was 30.5 ± 5.5 MJ (7283 ± 1321 kcal).

All bioelectrical variables significantly increased post-race and decreased at POST48h (Table 1), except PA. No statistically significant differences were found for lower-limbs circumferences in any time points (Table 1). The same was observed for the temperature differences: PRE-to-POST \( ^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{core}} \): 0.0 ± 1.2 °C; PRE-to-POST48h \( ^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{core}} \): -0.3 ± 1.3 °C; PRE-to-POST \( ^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{hand}} \): 0.1 ± 1.9 °C; PRE-to-POST48h \( ^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{hand}} \): 0.4 ± 2.4 °C; PRE-to-POST \( ^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{foot}} \): -0.3 ± 2.4 °C; PRE-to-POST48h \( ^{\circ}\text{C}_{\text{foot}} \): 0.2 ± 2.1 °C; \( p > 0.05 \).

The BIVA score graph (Figure 1A) showed that the triathletes’ vectors fell mostly outside the 50% tolerance ellipse and occupied a position more to the left of the
major axis compared with the reference population, indicating a higher density of body cell mass (BCM) than the reference population.

The BIVA mean graph (Figure 2) also showed the mean triathletes’ vector shifted to the left and upwards ($T^2 = 18.6; p = 0.0001$), and therefore with greater PA, in comparison with the reference population.

The BIA vector migration was characterized by an increase in R/h and Xc/h ($p = 0.001$), indicating dehydration after the race (Figure 1A). This was in agreement with the observed loss of BM ($p = 0.0001$).

On the other hand, increased BM and decreased bioelectrical values ($p = 0.0001$) were observed from POST to POST48h. When PRE to POST48h were compared, BM values were still significantly lower than at baseline. In turn, bioelectrical values were found to be significantly lower compared with PRE values, also identified by BIVA (Figures 1B).

A positive relationship was found between racing time and basal R/h ($r = 0.68; p = 0.04$) and Z/h ($r = 0.68; p = 0.045$). Besides, basal R/h and Z/h were highly and positively related to PRE-to-POST bioelectrical changes of R/h and Z/h ($r = 0.8; p = 0.009$). PRE-to-POST bioelectrical changes of R/h and Z/h were highly and positively related to racing time ($r = 0.8, p = 0.01$) and TRIMP ($r = 0.8, p ≤ 0.02$). Finally, no statistically significant correlation was observed between bioelectrical changes in relation to BM. Furthermore, no statistically significant correlation was observed between BM changes in the different time points and racing time, internal workload and energy deficit (ranges: $r = -0.46$ to $0.65; p = 0.06$ to $0.98$).

On the other hand, multiple linear regression analysis revealed that an increase in R/h and Z/h after the race was explained by a larger decrease in BM, greater TRIMP, and a slower racing time and ($t_{\text{adj}}^2 = 0.88$) (Table 2). On the other hand, the racing time was found to be moderately explained by an increase in Z/h at POST ($t_{\text{adj}}^2 = 0.58$).

Figure 2. RXc mean graph. The 95% confidence ellipses for the mean impedance vectors of triathletes (dotted line ellipse) and the healthy male reference population (solid line ellipse with vector) (Piccoli et al., 1995) are shown. R/h, height-adjusted resistance; Xc/h, height-adjusted reactance.

Discussion

Bioelectrical patterns in the ultra-endurance triathletes

When triathletes were compared to the healthy reference population, individual vectors were scattered mostly outside the 50% tolerance ellipse, positioned to the left of the major axis (Figure 1A). According to the urine color analysis, participants arrived to PRE in euhydration state. However, only two of them were plotted inside the 50% tolerance ellipse (Figure 1A). Since similar findings have already been observed in other sport samples (Campa and Toselli, 2018; Carrasco-Marginet et al., 2017; Gatterer et al., 2014; Giorgi et al., 2018; Koury et al., 2014; Micheli et al., 2014), this could reflect the specific body composition of athletes, characterized by greater soft tissue mass and different fluid content (Andreoli et al., 2001). Furthermore, the greater PA of the triathletes observed in this study (Figure 2) could indicate better cell function (Norman et al., 2012) and differing fluid distribution (i.e., increased intracellular water content) (Chertow et al., 1995), likely due to the hypertrophy of muscle fibers (Micheli et al., 2014). On the other hand, a significantly positive relationship was found between basal R/h (and therefore, Z/h) and racing time in the triathletes. This implies that the vector of triathletes who had better performance in the race (and, presumably, higher performance levels pre-race) would be displaced to the left, due to lower R/h values. Accordingly, it was observed that triathletes who registered lower basal R/h (and Z/h), racing time and internal workload showed smaller changes in these parameters after the race,
Table 1. Anthropometric and bioelectrical variables before (PRE), after (POST), and 48 hours after the race (POST48h).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PRE</th>
<th>POST</th>
<th>POST48h</th>
<th>Δ-value (%)</th>
<th>Cohen’s d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM (kg)</td>
<td>76.0 (6.9)</td>
<td>72.1 (6.1)</td>
<td>75.0 (6.3)</td>
<td>-5.0 (0.9)*</td>
<td>-4.0 (0.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWR (mm)</td>
<td>54.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>53.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>53.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>-1.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLT (mm)</td>
<td>54.2 (1.8)</td>
<td>53.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>54.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>-1.2 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC (mm)</td>
<td>38.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>37.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>38.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>-1.1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.9 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC (mm)</td>
<td>38.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>37.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>38.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>-1.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Values are mean ± SD (95% CI); BM, body mass; CWR, circumference of the right thigh; CLT, circumference of the left thigh; CRC, circumference of the right calf; CLC, circumference of the left calf; R, resistance; Xc, reactance; Z, impedance vector module; h, body height; PA, phase angle; r, Pearson’s correlation coefficient between R/h and Xc/h; time-point differences; *RM-ANOVA, repeated measures analysis of variance with Bonferroni post-hoc test, significance at \( p_{adj} < 0.017; \) †, medium effect size (\( d \leq 0.5); \) ‡, large effect size (\( d \leq 0.8 \)).

Table 2. Multiple linear regression analysis of bioelectrical, anthropometric and performance parameters before (PRE) and after the race (POST).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Explanatory equations</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>SE( r^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R/hPRE-POST (Δ%)</td>
<td>-28.40 - (1.14 \cdot BM_{PRE-POST}) + (0.021 \cdot time) + (0.010 \cdot TRIMP)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>19.95 3 5 0.003 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/hPRE-POST (Δ%)</td>
<td>-28.62 - (1.17 \cdot BM_{PRE-POST}) + (0.022 \cdot time) + (0.010 \cdot TRIMP)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>29.42 3 5 0.003 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racing time (min)</td>
<td>660.3 + (24.4 \cdot Z/hPRE-POST)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>11.91 4 1 7 0.01 45.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( F_{xy}(p \leq 0.05), F_{xuv}(p \geq 0.10), R, \text{ resistance}; Z, \text{ impedance module}; h, \text{ body height}; BM, \text{ body mass}; \text{ TRIMP}, \text{ training impulse}; \text{ time}, \text{ racing time}; r_{xy}, \text{ multiple regression coefficient squared}; \text{ SE} \_r^2, \text{ standard error of estimation.}

experiencing lower decreases of body fluids. Vectors shifted to the left have been already reported with performance level in different sport samples (Carrasco-Marginet et al., 2017; Giorgi et al., 2018; Micheli et al., 2014), probably as a result of the specific training process. This particularly relevant finding highlights the need of further research regarding this matter, since the application of a non-invasive technique could eventually help to discriminate between performance levels of athletes according to the positions of their vectors.

**BM and bioelectrical changes evoked by UET**

Regarding the changes induced by UET, the event evoked a mild-to-moderate dehydration, according to the average BM loss of ~5% (McDermott et al., 2017). Furthermore, individual vectors’ migration along the major axis was observed due to an increase in R and Xc (Figure 1A), which is consistent with fluid loss according to the literature. To our knowledge, only three studies have applied BIVA to analyze short-term vector changes induced by exercise. The articles revealed similar findings to those of the present study, reporting increased R and Xc after exercise, as well as a vector migration (Carrasco-Marginet et al., 2017; Gatterer et al., 2014, Heavens et al., 2016).

Since R is the opposition of the conductor to the flow of current, a significant increase in these values would indicate a decrease in body fluids (O’Brien et al., 2002), which was supported by the significant decrease in BM. With regard to reactance, the increased Xc after exercise has been suggested as an indicator of fluid shifts between intra- and extracellular compartments (Gatterer et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the meaning of Xc after performing exercise remains to be clarified. As mentioned in Castizo-Olier et al. (2018), multiple factors may affect Xc values (e.g. size, thickness, composition and distance between cell membranes; fluid distribution and characteristic frequency variations; ...) and further research should focus on this parameter in the exercise context.

In relation to the vector analysis, however, it is important to highlight that although directional changes in vector values from serial measurements seem consistent with fluid loss, BIVA is not currently able to identify type and magnitude of fluid loss. This is probably because the range of “normal hydration” comprised by the ellipses is wider than a hydration status/change considered as “dehydration” through other methodologies (Heavens et al., 2016). As mentioned in Heavens et al. (2016), the analysis of the vector length could be the key for serial measurements of hydration status.

On the other hand, although significant differences were found after the race, no correlation was observed between changes in BM and BIA variations in any situation. The lack of correlation found PRE-to-POST has been also depicted in Gatterer et al. (2014) and Carrasco-Marginet et al. (2017). The authors related the absence of correlation with a decrease in BM without an effective net negative
fluid balance as a result of exercise-related factors such as sweat rate, respiratory water loss and oxidative water production (Maughan et al., 2007).

The multiple regression analysis allows the study of the bioelectrical vector’s behavior from a multifactorial perspective. Thus, while BM does not correlate with bioelectrical parameters as an isolated variable, it is selected as an explanatory factor in the multivariate model (Table 2). When the bioelectrical parameters were analyzed as dependent variables, the changes observed in R/h and Z/h after the race were significantly explained by the behavior of BM, the racing time and the estimated internal workload, which makes sense. When the process was further applied in reverse in order to add information to the multivariate analysis, alternating the role between dependent and independent variables, the racing time was significantly explained by the behavior of Z/h from PRE-to-POST. In the event that, in the future, this methodology could be validated, it could be a possible indicator of the training/competition load. In addition, using the multivariate analysis, some variables (e.g., race time) could be estimated analyzing the behavior of other parameters, with a certain degree of error. Therefore, this type of analysis should be taken into consideration both in the interpretation of certain variables related to vector behavior and in future studies about this topic. However, the limited sample analyzed in the present study forces us to be cautious in drawing robust conclusions.

In relation with PRE-to-POST48h changes, the individual vectors’ migration along the major axis due to significant decreases in R and Xc (Figure 1B) indicates fluid accumulation (Lukaski and Piccoli, 2012), while BM values were still significantly lower than at baseline. Triathletes followed individual uncontrolled POST-to-POST48h recovery strategies (nutrition, hydration, physical activity, environmental conditions, etc.). However, the other mentioned factors that could affect the accuracy and reliability of BM and BIA measurements were controlled, being PRE and POST48h on equal terms. Thus, while BM alone does not detect a return to basal conditions, significant bioelectrical decreases below basal values were observed, indicating fluid retention in comparison with PRE. This highlights the potential advantage of BIVA in providing additional information about hydration changes in comparison with BM alone. However, it is important to clarify that neither BM nor BIVA can really identify what happened to TBW. Nevertheless, taking into account the aforementioned limitations of not controlling individual recovery strategies, it is difficult at present to elucidate the reasons why this behavior occurs due to the already mentioned problems in the interpretation of Xc when the fluid distribution changes.

**Limitations of the study**

In protocols measuring acute vector changes (before and after exercise), some factors should be controlled due to their influence in the bioelectrical signal in order to avoid measurement errors and provide accurate and reliable results (Castizo-Olier et al., 2018). The present study attempted to control all these factors. Nevertheless, in order to respect an ecological design and due to the characteristics of the sport event, the free consumption of food and beverage was allowed. The ingestion of food or beverages has an influence on Z, which may decrease over a 2- to 4-h period after a meal, generally representing a change of < 3% in Z values (Kushner et al., 1996). The ultra-endurance triathlon race started at 6:00 a.m. and lasted for many hours (~12.5 h on average). Therefore, each participant had her/his own nutritional strategies before competition (e.g., usually they have breakfast 2-3 hours before the race), which we could not control. This could have influence the bioelectrical values obtained pre-race. Furthermore, due to the free consumption of food and beverage during the race, an underestimation of ~14 Ω in Z values could have occurred in the post-exercise bioelectrical measurements. However, the amount of water intake at the end of the race
should not have affected these measurements because the recent ingestion of a meal or beverage (< 1 h from the ingestion to bioelectrical measurements) appears to be “electrically silent” and to have a minimal effect on whole-body Z (Evans et al., 1998).

The ecological design of the study itself implied also certain limitations. The post-race measurement was notably the most difficult assessment because of the multiple factors that must be controlled due to their influence on the bioelectrical signal at a moment in which athletes are extremely tired and less motivated (after exercising for more than 12 h). Moreover, the time at which the fluid/food intakes were performed during the race was not registered. On the other hand, there were no records of each individual’s recovery strategies. Although the sample size was previously estimated (see Participants) and post-tests power calculation was within the expected values, underpowered sample size cannot be completely discarded, which limit the possibility of reaching stronger inferences, especially in the multiple regression models. Finally, no specific triathlon tolerance ellipses exist yet to allow a more enriching analysis.

Conclusion

A specific bioelectrical distribution was found in the present group of triathletes in comparison with the healthy, general reference population. This justifies the need to create specific tolerance ellipses that could discriminate triathletes of different performance level, age, sex, race, etc. Furthermore, the relationship between basal vector position of triathletes and performance variables should be further investigated, since it could help to discriminate between performance levels of athletes according to the position of their vectors. Although BIVA is not currently able to identify type and magnitude of fluid loss, vector migration appears to be consistent with fluid loss induced by a UET event. Furthermore, reversed vector displacement beyond the basal position 48 h after the race is consistent with fluids recovery, while BM did not return to PRE values. This highlights the potential advantage of BIVA in better informing about hydration changes in comparison with BM alone. Furthermore, the bioelectrical analysis seems to be consistent with muscle disruption in the recovery period after completing this type of events. Although with the utmost caution, there seems to be a relationship between the behavior of the bioelectrical vector and certain performance parameters. The multivariate analysis may help to better understand the bioelectrical vector’s behavior pre- to post-exercise. BIVA may be helpful in assessing hydration changes in real time and could also complement the current hydration biomarkers that require a mobile laboratory. Nonetheless, further research must investigate the applicability of BIVA as a valid and reliable biomarker of hydration status, especially regarding the behavior of Xc after exercise.
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Key points

- BIVA shows a specific bioelectrical distribution in a group of triathletes in comparison with the healthy, general reference population.
- Although BIVA is not currently able to identify type and magnitude of fluid loss, vector migration appears to be consistent with fluid loss induced by a UET event and with fluid retention 48 h after the race.
- BIVA seems to provide additional information about hydration changes 48 h after the UET event compared with BM alone. However, it is important to highlight that neither BM nor BIVA can really identify what happened to TBW. BIVA reflect changes in ECW estimates only. Thus, ICW may still be reduced (captured by BM 48h post-race) with a migration of fluids to the ECW space.
- This method could be sensitive to different performance levels between triathletes and to muscle disruption 48 hours after completing a UET event.
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