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Abstract
Previous studies reported faster pulmonary oxygen uptake kinetics at the onset of exercise in untrained youth compared with adults. Whether or not these differences are identical for trained groups have not been examined. The purpose of this study was to compare VO2 kinetics of youth and adult cyclists at moderate and heavy-intensity exercise. Thirteen adult (age: 23.2 ± 4.8 years; VO2peak 68.4 ± 6.8 mL.min⁻¹.kg⁻¹) and thirteen youth cyclists (age: 14.3 ± 1.5 years; VO2peak 61.7 ± 4.3 mL.min⁻¹.kg⁻¹) completed a series of 6-min square wave exercises at moderate and heavy-intensity exercise at 90 rev.min⁻¹. A two-way repeated-measure ANOVA was conducted to identify differences between groups and intensities. The time constant, time delay and the mean response time were not significantly different between youth and adult cyclists (p > 0.05). We found significant differences between intensities, with a faster time constant during moderate than heavy-intensity exercise in youth (24.1 ± 7.0 s vs. 31.8 ± 5.6 s; p = 0.004) and adults (22.7 ± 5.6 s vs. 28.6 ± 5.7 s; p < 0.001). The present data suggest that the effect of training history in adult cyclists compensate for the superior primary response of the oxygen uptake kinetics typically seen in youth compared to adults. Furthermore, the VO2 response is dependent of work rate intensity in trained youth and adult cyclists.
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Introduction
The peak oxygen uptake (VO2peak) and oxygen uptake kinetics are key parameters of aerobic fitness (Whipp et al., 1981) and have been typically used to determine adaptations through endurance training. The VO2peak represents the maximum rate to resynthesize ATP aerobically, whereas oxygen uptake kinetics provide an additional non-invasive insight into the integrated capacity of oxygen utilization and transport to the contracting myocytes at the onset of exercise (Poole and Jones, 2012). Furthermore, a close coupling between muscle PCr breakdown and oxygen uptake kinetics was observed at the onset of exercise (Poole and Jones, 2012). Therefore, it can be assumed that a high training status as a result of a higher accumulated training volume (i.e. years of training), is associated with a faster τ and reduced amplitude of the SC (Poole and Jones, 2012). Whilst the effect of endurance training volume on parameters of oxygen uptake kinetics is well investigated in adults, relatively less is known about the responses in children and youth. Whilst early studies indicated no effect of training on oxygen uptake kinetics in prepubertal children (Cleuziou et al., 2002; Obert et al., 2000), more recent studies reported a significant effect of training. It has been shown, that trained children and adolescents showed faster adjustments in the oxidative response at the onset of exercise than their untrained counterparts irrespective of sex (Marwood et al., 2010; McNarry and Jones, 2014; McNarry et al., 2011a; McNarry et al., 2011b; Unnithan et al., 2015; Winlove et al., 2010). According to the results examining youth and adults, it appears that training status (i.e. endurance training history) is a potential factor to positively affect oxygen uptake kinetics and therefore exercise tolerance in both, youth and adults.

Whilst the majority of studies compared oxygen uptake kinetics between untrained children and adults, comparisons of the VO2 responses between endurance trained youth and adults have not been examined. Additionally, an influence of work rate on the VO2 response is reported in adults (Poole and Jones, 2012). Although some results indicate, that the VO2 response is independent of work rate in children no studies specifically investigated the influence of work rate on the VO2 response in children (McNarry, 2019).

The main purpose of the study was to compare oxygen uptake kinetics between endurance trained youth and adults.
adult cyclists. The second purpose was to determine differences in the oxygen uptake response at different exercise intensities within groups. We hypothesized that the primary response would be faster in adult cyclists due to the higher training status and would be affected by exercise intensity within both groups. During heavy-intensity exercise, we expected a larger SC in adult than in youth cyclists.

Methods

Participants

Nine adult male and four female (n = 13; mean ± SD; age: 23.2 ± 4.8 years; stature: 1.76 ± 0.09 m; body mass: 68.1 ± 10.0 kg) and ten youth male and three female (n = 13; mean ± SD; age: 14.3 ± 1.5 years; stature: 1.65 ± 0.12 m; body mass: 54.2 ± 11.7 kg) members of the Austrian Cycling Federation volunteered to participate in this study. The youth cyclists had a training history of 3-4 years and trained for 10 to 12 h per week at the time of the study. Adults training history was at minimum 10 years with 15 to 20 h of training per week. Before entering the study, all participants and the guardians of the youth cyclists were informed of the experimental procedures. The youth cyclists provided assent to participate and their guardians, as well as the adult cyclists, gave written informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board. Participants were instructed to arrive at the laboratory in a rested and fully hydrated state and to refrain from strenuous exercise in the 24 h prior each test.

Study design

Participants visited the laboratory on two occasions separated by 3-7 days. All participants performed a ramp-exercise test on a Cyclus-2 cycle ergometer (RBM Electronics, Leipzig, Germany) during the first visit. At the second visit participants performed two square-wave exercise transitions each at moderate and heavy-intensity exercise to obtain a 95% confidence interval in the primary response at each moderate and heavy-intensity exercise to obtain a 95% confidence interval in the primary response of $\tau$ of ± 5 s. During all trials pulmonary VO2 was measured continuously and laboratory temperature was held constant at 22° with a relative humidity of ~42%.

Laboratory ramp-exercise test

Participants performed the ramp-exercise test at a cadence of 90-100 rev min⁻¹ until exhaustion to determine maximal measures of power output (Pmax) and VO2peak. Participants used their own bicycle which was mounted on a Cyclus-2 ergometer. After a 3-minute standardized warm-up at 60 W the work rate was increased continuously by 20 W min⁻¹ until exhaustion. Pulmonary ventilation and gas exchange were measured continuously throughout the test via breath-by-breath open circuit spirometry (MetaMax 3B, Cortex Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany). The gas analyzer was calibrated before each test with gases of known concentrations (4.99 Vol% CO2, 15.99 Vol% O2, Cortex Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany). Volume and flow were calibrated with a 3-L syringe (Type M 9474-C; Cortex Biophysik, Leipzig, Germany). The participants wore a facemask and breathed through a low-resistance impeller turbine. Achievement of VO2peak was assumed as the highest 10-s average value attained before volitional exhaustion. In addition, the ventilatory threshold (VT) was determined as sub-maximal performance correlate. For determination of VT the criteria of an increase of the ventilatory equivalent of O2 (VE/VO2), without a concomitant increase of the ventilatory equivalent of CO2 (VE/VCO2) and the first loss of linearity in pulmonary ventilation (VE) and carbon dioxide ventilation (VCO2) were used (Beaever et al., 1986).

Square-wave exercise trials

The trials were conducted at a cadence of 90 rev min⁻¹ for all intensities. The work rate for moderate-intensity exercise was set at 90% of VT and the work rate for heavy-intensity exercise was set at the difference of 50% between VT and Pmax (Δ50%). After a 5-min warm-up period (i.e. cycling at 40 W), each trial started with 3-min baseline cycling at a power output of 60 W followed by 6 min cycling at moderate intensity separated by 10 min cycling at 60 W before 6 min cycling at heavy intensity. The protocol was repeated after a passive rest of 1 h (Nimmerichter et al., 2020). During all trials gas exchange was measured as described above.

Oxygen uptake kinetics

The VO2 breath-by-breath data were examined to exclude errant breaths from sighing, swallowing, coughing, etc., and breaths lying more than four standard deviations from the local mean of 5 data points were removed. The filtered data were linearly interpolated to provide second-by-second values for each participant. In addition, the identical transitions from each participant were ensemble-averaged and subsequently time aligned to the onset of the exercise (Whipp and Rossiter, 2005). The cardio-dynamic or phase I response was visually identified and the first 15-20 s of the transition from baseline to the target work rate were excluded from further analyses (Hebestreit et al., 1998). A single-exponential equation was used to model the kinetics of the primary (phase II) response of VO2:

$$\text{VO2}(t) = \text{VO2}_{\text{baseline}} + \text{Amp} \times (1 - e^{(-t/\text{TD})/\tau})$$

where VO2(t), VO2baseline, Amp, TD and τ represent the VO2 at any given time (t), the VO2 at the last 60 s of baseline exercise, the amplitude from baseline to its asymptote, the time delay and the time constant of the response, respectively.

According to the methods of Rossiter et al. (2002) a purpose-designed software (LabView 6.1, National Instruments, Newbury, UK) was used to identify the optimal fitting window of the primary parameter response. Beginning from the initial 60 s of exercise, the fitting window was increased iteratively by 5-s to end-exercise. For Δ50% trials, each fitting window was plotted against time of the estimated τ and through visual inspection the onset of the SC was determined as the point at which the estimated τ progressively increased following an initial plateau. The parameter estimates were then resolved by least-squares non-linear regression (Graph Pad Prism 8.1, San Diego). The
amplitude of the SC was calculated as the difference between the mean of the final 30 s at end-exercise and the asymptote of the fundamental response. The VO₂ gain of the primary response and of the end-exercise, was calculated by dividing the respective amplitudes by the incremental work rates above baseline (ΔVO₂/ΔWR). In addition, mean response time (MRT) was calculated as t + TD.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistics 23 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). Descriptive data are summarized as mean ± standard deviation (SD). After testing the assumption of normality using the Shapiro-Wilks’ test, a two-way repeated-measure ANOVA with Fisher’s LSD post-hoc test compared differences between groups (youth vs. adults) and intensities (moderate vs. heavy). In addition, an unpaired t-test was conducted to compare data obtained from the laboratory ramp-exercise test as well as the SC of the heavy-intensity exercise trials. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

The results of the ramp-exercise test and calculated target work rates for the square-wave trials are presented as absolute and normalized to body mass values in Table 1. There was a significant difference in all measurements between youth and adult cyclists except the target work rate for 90% VT which was not significantly different between groups (p = 0.408) when normalized to body mass.

The parameter estimates of the transitions to moderate- and heavy-intensity exercise for both groups are provided in Table 2. ANOVA revealed significant main effects of intensity for baseline VO₂ (F1; 24 = 8.9, p = 0.006), amplitude (F1; 24 = 319.5, p < 0.001), τ (F1; 24 = 29.9, p < 0.001), MRT (F1; 24 = 11.1, p = 0.003), end-exercise VO₂ (F1; 24 = 303.2, p < 0.001) and end-exercise VO₂ gain (F1; 24 = 4.8, p = 0.039). No significant main effect of intensity was found for TD (F1; 24 = 2.8, p = 0.106) and phase II VO₂ gain (F1; 24 = 0.9, p = 0.356). Significant group differences were found for baseline VO₂ (F1; 24 = 13.4, p = 0.001), phase II VO₂ gain (F1; 24 = 39.7, p < 0.001), end-exercise VO₂ (F1; 24 = 11.6, p = 0.002), end-exercise VO₂ gain (F1; 24 = 16.1, p = 0.001) and SC (p < 0.001). No significant differences were found for amplitude (F1; 24 = 3.0, p = 0.058), τ (F1; 24 = 1.3, p = 0.263), TD (F1; 24 = 2.5, p = 0.124) and MRT (F1; 24 = 0.3, p = 0.870). In addition, no significant interactions between intensity and group were found (p = 0.294 to p = 0.717). The mean VO₂ response for both intensities is illustrated in Figure 1.

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that for moderate- and heavy-intensity exercise the phase II of the primary response is not significantly different between trained youth and adult cyclists. This is in contrast to our hypothesis that the primary response would be faster in adults and to studies comparing children and adults. Additionally, in both groups a SC was observed, with a significantly higher SC amplitude in adult cyclists compared to youth cyclists which is in turn comparable to results from studies comparing youth and adults.

However, in the present study adult cyclists with a longer training history and higher training volume, showed significantly higher maximal and sub-maximal physiological characteristics for both, absolute and normalized values than youth cyclists. The differences in endurance performance in the present study are not surprising. It is well documented that prolonged endurance training over several years results in increased endurance performance.

Table 1. Physiological characteristics and peak exercise response (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Youth</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>t-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VO₂peak (mL.min⁻¹kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>61.7 ± 4.3</td>
<td>68.4 ± 6.8*</td>
<td>p=0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂peak (L.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.70 ± 1.0*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax (W)</td>
<td>293 ± 53</td>
<td>421 ± 77*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax (W.kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>p=0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power output at 90% VT (W)</td>
<td>133 ± 29</td>
<td>186 ± 43*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power output at 90% VT (W.kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>p=0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power output at Δ50% (W)</td>
<td>212 ± 48</td>
<td>313 ± 62*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power output at Δ50% (W.kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.4*</td>
<td>p=0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05 between the groups.

Table 2. Oxygen uptake kinetic parameters during moderate- and heavy intensity exercise (mean ± SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Heavy</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Heavy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline VO₂ (mL.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>1180 ± 137</td>
<td>1251 ± 119*</td>
<td>1474 ± 282*</td>
<td>1522 ± 225*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II VO₂ time constant (s)</td>
<td>24.1 ± 7.0</td>
<td>31.8 ± 5.6*</td>
<td>22.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>20.6 ± 5.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II VO₂ time delay (s)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>7.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>12.5 ± 8.5</td>
<td>9.6 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT VO₂ (s)</td>
<td>33.4 ± 5.6</td>
<td>39.2 ± 3.9*</td>
<td>35.2 ± 10.5</td>
<td>38.2 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II VO₂ amplitude (mL.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>708 ± 258</td>
<td>1659 ± 464*</td>
<td>996 ± 358</td>
<td>2032 ± 622*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II VO₂ gain (mL.min⁻¹.W⁻¹)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.5*</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ slow component (mL)</td>
<td>78 ± 47</td>
<td>482 ± 314*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-exercise VO₂ (mL.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>1886 ± 375</td>
<td>2990 ± 561*</td>
<td>2513 ± 536*</td>
<td>4035 ± 984*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-exercise VO₂ gain (mL.min⁻¹.W⁻¹)</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>12.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.8*</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance values determined from Fisher’s LSD post-hoc test. * p < 0.05 between the groups, † p < 0.05 between the intensities.
Therefore, the higher endurance performance in adult cyclists can be mainly explained by the differences in training history and consequently a higher accumulated training volume.

We observed no significant differences in the phase II at the primary response of oxygen uptake kinetics at both intensities. Nevertheless, the VO₂ gain in the present study was significantly different between groups, with a higher VO₂ gain in youth, which is comparable to studies where untrained children showed a significantly higher VO₂ gain than adults (Armon et al., 1991; Fawkner and Armstrong, 2003; Williams et al., 2001). By comparing youth and adults, the higher VO₂ gain in youth is associated with a higher capacity of oxidative phosphorylation and/or a limited ability to generate ATP through anaerobic metabolism (Armstrong and Barker, 2009).

Irrespective of age the positive influence of training status on oxygen uptake kinetics has been shown in recent studies (Koppo et al., 2004; Marwood et al., 2010; Winlove et al., 2010). Furthermore, studies investigating oxygen uptake kinetics within trained groups showed that those with a higher VO₂peak displayed a faster τ (Ingham et al., 2007; Powers et al., 1985). The results of the present study regarding τ are in contrast to the mentioned studies, thus an influence of age is most likely present. However, in the present study the differences in the VO₂ gain for the primary response are in line with previous studies, where youth or club level athletes showed a significantly higher VO₂ gain than adults or elite athletes (Ingham et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2001). In addition, we observed a SC in youth cyclists which was significantly smaller compared to adult cyclists, whereas the end-exercise VO₂ gain was higher in youth cyclists. The differences in SC are most likely due to the significantly greater absolute and relative work rate performed by adult cyclists during heavy-intensity exercise.

Longitudinal and cross-sectional studies showed a slowing of τ and an increasing SC at heavy-intensity exercise with age (Breese et al., 2010; Fawkner and Armstrong, 2004; Williams et al., 2001). The mechanisms related to these changes with age are poorly understood, but it was suggested that children compared to adults, display a higher potential for oxidative metabolism (McNarry and Barker, 2018). Leclair et al. (2013) found a faster VO₂ τ and a faster oxygen extraction (deoxy-hemoglobin) as measured by near-infrared spectroscopy in children compared to adults. It appears, that the enhanced oxidative metabolism in children includes a faster oxygen delivery and a higher oxygen extraction in the exercising muscles (Leclair et al., 2013; McNarry and Jones, 2014) and this in turn results in a reduced PCR breakdown at the onset of exercise. The results in the present study are comparable to studies evaluating groups at different training status and contrary to studies evaluating the effect of age differences. Based on the findings of recent studies we assume that the results in the present study are more related to long-term training rather than age-related adaptations.

In the present study the VO₂ response is work rate dependent, with a significantly slower τ at heavy than in moderate-intensity exercise in trained youth and adults. This results are in line with recent studies, where untrained and trained adults displayed a slowing of the τ with increasing work rate (Koppo et al., 2004). The novel finding in the present study is, that in trained youth the slowing of the τ with increasing work rate is also present. This indicates, that in trained youth the VO₂ response displays a similar behavior, as it was reported in adults.

Limitations

The present study reveals novel insight in oxygen uptake kinetics of trained youth and adult cyclists. However, some limitations should be addressed. An untrained control group of youth would have been beneficial to clearly identify age-related differences. Additionally, a group of adult cyclists with a comparable training status to our group of youth cyclists would have been beneficial to examine the effect of training state. As a result of the limited number of available subjects in these categories the small sample size should be considered as a limitation. Although we applied the commonly used delta concept to ensure appropriate
intensity for heavy-intensity exercise, it should be noted that the differences found should be interpreted with caution due to the suggestion that the delta concept is less secure than the use of critical power to investigate this intensity domain (Armstrong and Barker, 2009).

Conclusion

The results of the current study are contrary to the existing knowledge about differences in the primary response of oxygen uptake kinetics between children and/or youth and adults. Our results showed that at moderate and heavy-intensity exercise trained youth and adult cyclists displayed a similar behavior in the primary response of oxygen uptake kinetics. However, irrespective of work rate and training status, recent studies and the findings of the present study showed that the VO₂ gain of the primary response and end-exercise VO₂ gain in youth is higher than in adults, whereas adults showed a higher SC than youth cyclists. We assume that long-term training adaptations influence oxygen uptake kinetics and can be a potent mediator to reduce the age-related slowing of oxygen uptake kinetics.
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**Key points**

- No differences in the primary response between youth and adult cyclists at moderate and heavy-intensity exercise
- This suggests that adaptations to long-term training have a high potential as an influencing factor on the response of oxygen uptake kinetics
- Oxygen uptake kinetics are influenced by work rate in both youth and adult cyclists with a slower response at higher work rates.
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