The Acute Effect of Percussive Massage Intervention with and without Heat Application on Plantar Flexor Muscles’ Passive and Active Properties
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Abstract
Recently, percussive massage (PM) intervention using a handheld percussive massage device, namely a massage gun, has been used as an easy way to perform vibration functions. Additionally, a product has been developed that allows PM intervention and heat application to be performed simultaneously. Thus, this study aimed to compare the acute effects of PM intervention with and without heat application on dorsiflexion (DF) range of motion (ROM), passive stiffness, and muscle strength in the gastrocnemius muscle. Fifteen healthy young men (20.9 ± 0.2 years) participated in this study. We measured the DF ROM, passive torque at DF ROM (an indicator of stretch tolerance), passive stiffness, and maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) torque of the plantar flexor muscles before and immediately after 120 seconds PM intervention with and without heat application. The results showed that PM intervention with and without heat application significantly increased DF ROM and passive torque at DF ROM and decreased passive stiffness, not MVIC torque. These results suggest that PM intervention increased ROM and decreased passive stiffness regardless of the presence or absence of the heat application.
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Introduction
Recently, percussive massage (PM) intervention using a handheld percussive massage device, namely a massage gun, has been used as an easy way to perform vibration functions in athletes' and patients' self-care. A previous study showed that a single bout of PM intervention increased dorsiflexion (DF) ROM without changing muscle force (Konrad et al., 2020). In a systematic review of 11 papers, Ferreira et al. (2023) concluded that massage guns could effectively improve iliopsoas, hamstrings, triceps surae, and the posterior chain muscles' flexibility (Ferreira et al., 2023). However, to date not much is known about the mechanism of the increase in ROM following a PM treatment.

In addition, a product has been developed that allows PM intervention and heat application to be performed simultaneously. Nakamura et al. (2023) showed that heat application alone increases DF ROM and decreases shear elastic modulus (Nakamura et al., 2023). Moreover, Nakano et al. (2012) concluded that heat application in combination with stretching produces a greater ROM effect than stretching alone (Nakano et al., 2012). Therefore, it is possible that PM intervention with heat application could produce a greater increase in ROM and a decrease in passive stiffness than PM intervention alone. Thus, this study aimed to compare the acute effects of PM intervention and PM intervention with heat application on DF ROM, passive stiffness, and muscle strength in the plantar flexor muscles.

Methods
Experimental set-up
A randomized, repeated-measures experimental design was used to compare the acute effects of PM with and without heat on plantar flexor muscles’ passive and active properties. The subjects' legs were randomly assigned to PM (percussive massage intervention) and Heat PM (percussive massage intervention with heat) conditions. The intervention order, whether PM or Heat PM, was also randomized. Outcome variables were measured before (PRE) and immediately after each condition's intervention (POST). We assessed ankle DF ROM, passive torque at DF ROM, passive stiffness, and maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) torque of the plantar flexor muscles, in this order, at both PRE and POST.

Participants
The subjects were 15 healthy, nonathletic, young males with habitual physical activity (age 20.9 ± 0.2 years, height 171.5 ± 5.6 cm, weight 65.5 ± 8.0 kg). The target muscles were the plantar flexor muscles in both sides. Inclusion criteria were as follows: no regular resistance training within the past 6 months, no neuromuscular disease, and no history of orthopedic disease. None of the participants were competitive athletes or engaged in regular resistance training or stretching programs for the lower limbs (Nakamura et al., 2021e).

The required sample size for a repeated-measures two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (effect size = 0.40 [medium], α error = 0.05, and power = 0.80) using G* power 3.1 software (Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany) was more than 14 participants. The effect
In a similar manner to the DF ROM measurements, participants were seated on an isokinetic dynamometer chair at a 0° knee angle for the dynamometer. However, the ankle joint was set to 30° plantar flexion position to ensure that the calf muscles were relaxed. Using a massage gun, the same investigator applied the PM intervention with and without heat (D Exagan Hot & Cool, ream Factory, Umeda, Japan). This device provides percussions at 53 Hz (Konrad et al., 2020). The PM intervention, both with and without the application of heat, was administered to the medial and lateral gastrocnemius muscles, targeting a location at 30% of the lower-leg length, measured from the popliteal crease to the lateral malleolus, for 60 seconds each. The intervention sequence commenced with the medial gastrocnemius, followed by the lateral gastrocnemius. The attachment of the massage gun used in this study can be set to be heated, enabling simultaneous vibration and thermal stimulation. In this study, vibration stimulation was performed with the attachment heated to 39°C in the Heat PM condition. The same investigator performed both conditions. The investigator always tried to apply the same pressure to the skin (Konrad et al., 2020).

### Statistical analysis

We used SPSS (version 24.0; SPSS Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan) for the statistical analysis. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality of the data. The PRE and POST values for each variable followed normality. For each variable, the unpaired t-test was used to compare PRE values between conditions. To analyze the interaction and main effects, all outcome variables were compared using a split-plot ANOVA (time [PRE vs. POST] and conditions [PM vs. Heat PM condition]). Classification of effect size (ES) was set where η² < 0.01 was considered small, 0.02 - 0.1 was considered medium, and more than 0.1 was considered to be a large effect size (Cohen, 1988). A paired t-test test with Bonferroni correction was performed to compare the PRE and POST values in each condition to confirm a significant interaction or main effect. Additionally, we calculated Cohen’s d as ES for post-hoc test, with an effect size of 0.00 - 0.19, 0.20 - 0.49, 0.50 - 0.79, and ≥0.80 considered trivial, small, moderate, and large, respectively.

To investigate the relationship between changes in DF ROM and changes in passive torque at DF ROM or passive stiffness, we used Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient for those not following a normal distribution. A p value of <0.05 indicated statistical significance.

### Results

#### Comparison of variables in PRE values between PM and Heat PM conditions

PRE values showed no significance between both conditions for all variables (Table 1).

#### Changes in DF ROM, Passive Torque at DF ROM, Passive Stiffness, and MVIC

Table 1 shows the results of DF ROM, passive torque at DF ROM, and passive stiffness before and after the intervention. A split-plot ANOVA showed no significant interaction effects in all variables. Also, there were main effects...
of time for DF ROM, passive torque at DF ROM, and passive stiffness, but not in MVIC.

**Relationship between change in DF ROM and passive torque at DF ROM or passive stiffness**

Significant positive correlations were found between changes in DF ROM and changes in passive torque at DF ROM in PM condition \((p = 0.023, r_s = 0.582, \text{ Figure 1-A})\) and Heat PM condition \((p < 0.001, r_s = 0.943, \text{ Figure 1-B})\). However, there were no significant correlations between changes in DF ROM and changes in passive stiffness in PM condition \((p = 0.81, r_s = -0.068, \text{ Figure 1-C})\) and Heat PM condition \((p = 0.087, r_s = 0.046, \text{ Figure 1-D})\).

**Table 1.** The changes in dorsiflexion range of motion (DF ROM), passive torque at DF ROM, passive stiffness of muscle-tendon unit, and maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) torque before (PRE) and immediately after (POST) Percussive Massage (PM) and PM with Heat (Heat PM) conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PM condition</th>
<th>Heat PM condition</th>
<th>ANOVA results</th>
<th>P value, F value, (\eta^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DF ROM (°)</strong></td>
<td>PRE</td>
<td>POST</td>
<td>PRE</td>
<td>POST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DF ROM (°)</td>
<td>23.6 ± 12.2</td>
<td>30.9 ± 12.1</td>
<td>25.8 ± 10.7</td>
<td>32.1 ± 11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T: p &lt; 0.01, F = 96.6, (\eta^2 = 0.775)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d = 0.60</td>
<td>d = 0.58</td>
<td>C x T: p = 0.49, F = 0.485, (\eta^2 = 0.017)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive torque at DF ROM (Nm)</strong></td>
<td>28.2 ± 10.2</td>
<td>34.0 ± 9.2</td>
<td>28.7 ± 7.2</td>
<td>33.5 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T: p &lt; 0.01, F = 25.1, (\eta^2 = 0.473)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d = 0.60</td>
<td>d = 0.56</td>
<td>C x T: p = 0.63, F = 0.237, (\eta^2 = 0.008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive stiffness (Nm/°)</strong></td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T: p &lt; 0.01, F = 34.7, (\eta^2 = 0.553)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d = -0.45</td>
<td>d = -0.54</td>
<td>C x T: p = 0.898, F = 0.017, (\eta^2 &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MVIC (Nm)</strong></td>
<td>153.4 ± 31.8</td>
<td>156.7 ± 31.6</td>
<td>149.5 ± 26.5</td>
<td>149.2 ± 24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T: p = 0.27, F = 1.26, (\eta^2 = 0.043)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d = 0.10</td>
<td>d = -0.10</td>
<td>C x T: p = 0.18, F = 1.90, (\eta^2 = 0.064)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) results (T: time effect, C x T: condition x time interaction effect; F-value) and partial \(\eta^2\) (\(\eta^2\)) are shown in the right column. d: effect size. *: significantly different from PRE value \((p < 0.05)\)

![Figure 1](image-url). The relationships between change in dorsiflexion range of motion (DF ROM) and change in passive torque at DF ROM in Percussive Massage (PM) condition (A) and PM with Heat (Heat PM) condition (B). Also, the relationships between change in (DF ROM) and change in passive stiffness in PM condition (C) and Heat PM condition (D).

**Discussion**

This study investigated the combined effects of PM intervention and heat application on the triceps surae. The results showed that PM intervention significantly increased DF ROM and passive torque at DF ROM, an index of stretch tolerance, and decreased passive stiffness. In both PM and Heat PM conditions, DF ROM was associated with a change in passive torque at DF ROM but not a decrease in passive stiffness. In addition, adding heat application to the PM intervention had no synergistic effect on these changes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the mechanism of ROM increase immediately after a PM intervention and the combination effect of heat application. The results of this study indicate that PM intervention, with or without heat application, could be a simple self-care technique that increases ROM and decreases passive stiffness without compromising muscular strength.

In both PM and Heat PM conditions, DF ROM was significantly increased, which is partially consistent with the results of previous studies (Ferreira et al., 2023; Konrad...
et al., 2020; Kurt, 2015; Nakamura et al., 2021b), but inconsistent with some previous studies (Herda et al., 2009; Herda, T.J et al., 2010). Previous studies by Herda et al. (2009; 2010) investigated the acute effect of 20 minutes of local vibration on the Achilles tendon, but other previous studies investigated the effect of local vibration, like PM intervention like this study, on muscle. Differences in the location of this vibration stimulation may affect the increase in ROM differently. Interestingly, the present study extended these findings and revealed that the mechanism of the DF ROM increase was not significantly associated with a decrease in passive stiffness but was related to a change in passive torque at DF ROM, i.e., a change in stretch tolerance. The mechanism of the change in stretch tolerance in both PM and Heat PM conditions is unknown in this study. Some previous studies (Aboodarda et al., 2015; Behm and Wilke, 2019; Cavanaugh et al., 2017) suggested that the envisaged global pain modulatory response might be intrinsically connected to theories such as the gate control theory of pain, diffuse noxious inhibitory control, or alterations in the parasympathetic nervous system. Moreover, vibration stimulation may selectively induce activation through pressure and vibration, coupled with large rapid muscle contractions, thereby enhancing pain perception (Romero-Moraleda et al., 2019). Regardless of the presence or absence of heat application in the PM intervention, these mechanisms may have altered stretch tolerance, increasing ROM. However, the results support previous studies investigating the mechanism by which static stretching (Nakamura et al., 2021e) and foam rolling (Nakamura et al., 2021a) increase ROM. Interestingly, adding heat application to the PM intervention had no synergistic effect on changes in DF ROM and stretch tolerance. A previous meta-analysis study found a greater increase in ROM with heat application than with stretching intervention alone (Nakano et al., 2012). In the present study, heat application was performed simultaneously with PM intervention, whereas the previous study used heat application before stretching intervention. In addition, when the intensity of the stretching intervention was standardized, there was no synergistic effect of stretching intervention alone and stretching intervention with heat application on changes in ROM or muscle stiffness (Nakamura et al., 2021d). This study used the same vibration conditions for the PM and Heat PM conditions. Thus, it is possible that the changes in DF ROM and stretch tolerance were similar in the PM and Heat PM conditions. Passive stiffness was significantly decreased in both PM and Heat PM conditions, and previous studies using vibration foam rollers have shown that vibration significantly reduces shear elastic modulus (Nakamura et al., 2021c). In addition, vibration compression on the muscle belly alone could decrease shear elastic modulus (Nakamura et al., 2021b). Mechanoreceptors may be responsible for decreased shear elastic modulus during vibration stimulation (Behm and Wilke, 2019). On the other hand, adding heat application to PM intervention had no synergistic effect. The previous study showed a decrease in shear elastic modulus after 300 seconds of heat application (Nakamura et al., 2023). In the present study, the intervention duration was 60 seconds for MG and LG, respectively, for a total of 120 seconds. In addition, the temperature of the heat application used in this study was 39°C, which the low-temperature setting might have influenced. Further studies are needed to examine the synergistic effects of longer intervention times and higher temperatures on passive stiffness.

In the present study, MVIC did not change in both PM and Heat PM conditions, supporting the results of previous studies (Ferreira et al., 2023; Konrad et al., 2020). These results suggest that PM intervention could increase ROM and decrease passive stiffness without decreasing muscle strength. Therefore, PM intervention may be effective as a warm-up tool in sports and rehabilitation regardless of heat application. The mechanism for the lack of change in muscle strength during PM intervention with and without heat application in this study is unknown. Previous studies suggested that vibration stimulation stimulates muscle receptors, increasing motor unit recruitment (Fallon and Macefield, 2007; Germann et al., 2018). Therefore, MVIC could increase, but on the other hand, in the present study, a reduction effect in passive stiffness occurred. This decrease in passive stiffness may have offset the effect of increased MVIC in vibration stimulation.

The present study has several limitations. First, previous studies have shown that recovery is facilitated after PM intervention. Therefore, it is necessary to examine the synergistic effects of adding heat application to PM intervention as a fatigue recovery tool in the future. Second, the studies of this present study were limited to young men, and it is necessary to include other populations and females in future studies. Third, we did not investigate the various conditions of the percussive massage device such as intensity, duration, or frequency. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate this as well as the effect of heat application on the optimal PM condition in the future. Fourth, we did not measure the changes in muscle temperature after PM intervention, especially after Heat PM conditions. It is possible that the effect of the PM intervention in this study was similar regardless of heat application and that this was since no change in muscle temperature occurred. Therefore, detailed research, including changes in muscle temperature, should be conducted in the future.

Conclusion

This study investigated the acute effect of heat application in combination with PM intervention using a massage gun. The results showed that the PM intervention increased ROM and decreased passive stiffness regardless of the presence or absence of the heat application.
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Key points

We investigated the acute effect of percussive massage (PM) intervention with and without heat application on dorsiflexion range of motion (DF ROM), passive stiffness, and muscle strength in the gastrocnemius muscle.

- We measured the DF ROM, passive torque at DF ROM (an indicator of stretch tolerance), passive stiffness, and maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) torque of the gastrocnemius before and immediately after 120 seconds PM intervention with and without heat application.
- These results suggest that PM intervention increased ROM and decreased passive stiffness regardless of the presence or absence of the heat application.
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